## Monitoring *Aedes* vectors using different capture methods in a heterogeneous landscape of the Brazilian atlantic rainforest

Daniel C. P. Camara<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Claudia T. Codeco<sup>2</sup>; Celio S. Pinel<sup>3</sup>; Glaucio R. Pereira<sup>3</sup>; Jose J. Carvajal<sup>3,4</sup>; Fernanda C. Morone<sup>3,5</sup>; Tania Ayllon<sup>3,5</sup>; Nildimar A. Honorio<sup>1,3</sup>:

¹Laboratório de Mosquitos Transmissores de Hematozoários, IOC/FIOCRUZ, Avenida Brasil 4365, CEP 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. ²Programa de Computação Científica, PROCC/FIOCRUZ, Avenida Brasil 4365, CEP 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. ³Núcleo Operacional Sentinela de Mosquitos Vetores, NOSMOVE/FIOCRUZ, Avenida Brasil 4365, CEP 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. ⁴Laboratório de Doenças Parasitárias, IOC/FIOCRUZ, Avenida Brasil 4365, CEP 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. ⁵Instituto Nacional de Infectologia, FIOCRUZ, Avenida Brasil 4365, CEP 21040-900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Dengue epidemics are a historical public health problem in Brazil. The recent introduction and expansion of chikungunya and zika virus in this country reinforces the opportunities to strengthen entomological surveillance actions. The objective of this study was to analyze the spatial distribution of Aedes aegypti (L.) and Ae. albopictus (Skuse) using different traps and backpack aspirator in an urban-sylvatic gradient in two contrasting cities, Itaboraí and Cachoeiras de Macacu, located in the Atlantic forest in Rio de Janeiro. One-hundred and five collection points were randomly assigned in eight different areas with contrasting landscapes: urban (2), periurban (2), rural (2) and sylvatic (2). In each collection point, different collection methods were employed (CDC, BG-Sentinel, Adultrap and backpack aspirator). Univariate generalized linear models of the Poisson family were used to verify the relationship between Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus abundance, landscape and capture methods. A total of 466 adult mosquitos were collected, of which 49,8% were Ae. aegypti and 50,2% were Ae. albopictus. Even though Ae. aegypti was most abundant in the urban and periurban areas, we found no significant relationship between its abundance and landscape. The use of BG-Sentinels and backpack aspiration were only marginally significant for Ae. aegypti captures. Aedes albopictus was significantly more abundant in the periurban and rural areas. BG-Sentinel was the best collection method for this species according to the models. Our results show the need to use integrated surveillance methods to monitor Aedes vector populations, which are thoroughly dispersed and coexisting in urban to forest gradients in Rio de Janeiro. The finding of large numbers of both species in the periurban areas might indicate that population dynamics of Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus are still being shaped by ecological interactions in these areas.

Palavras-chaves: Aedes vectors; entomological surveillance; Atlantic forest.

**Suporte:** CAPES, FAPERJ, SMS/Itaboraí, SMS/Cachoeiras de Macacu, Reserva Ecológica do Guapiaçu - REGUA.