1	Antimicrobial Resistance in Enterococcus spp. of animal origin
2	Carmen Torres <sup>1*</sup> , Carla Andrea Alonso <sup>1</sup> , Laura Ruiz-Ripa <sup>1</sup> , Ricardo León-Sampedro <sup>2,3</sup> ,
3	Rosa del Campo <sup>2,4</sup> , Teresa M. Coque <sup>2,3</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Unit, University of La Rioja, Madre de Dios 51,
6	26006 Logroño, Spain; <sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology, Ramón y Cajal University
7	Hospital, Ramón y Cajal Health Research Institute (IRYCIS), Madrid, Spain; <sup>3</sup> Centro de
8	Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBER-ESP),
9	Madrid, Spain. <sup>4</sup> Red Española de Investigación en Patología Infecciosa (REIPI).
10	
11	*Carmen Torres, Phone: 34-941299750; email: carmen.torres@unirioja.es
12	
13	Data of the coauthors:
14	Carla Andrea Alonso: aaamoreda@hotmail.com
15	Laura Ruiz-Ripa: laura_ruiz_10@hotmail.com
16	Ricardo León-Sampedro: rlsampedro85@gmail.com
17	Rosa del Campo: rosacampo@yahoo.com
18	Teresa M Coque: mariateresa.coque@salud.madrid.org

#### 1 Abstract

2 Enterococci are natural inhabitants of the intestinal tract in humans and many animals, 3 including food-producing and companion animals. They can easily contaminate the food and the environment, entering the food chain. Moreover, Enterococcus is an im-4 portant opportunistic pathogen, especially the E. faecalis and E. faecium species, caus-5 ing a wide variety of infections. This microorganism not only contains intrinsic re-6 7 sistance mechanisms to several antimicrobial agents, but also has the capacity to acquire 8 new mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance. In this review we will analyze the diver-9 sity of enterococcal species and their distribution in the intestinal tract of animals. 10 Moreover, resistance mechanisms for different classes of antimicrobials of clinical rele-11 vance will be reviewed as well as the epidemiology of multidrug resistant enterococci in 12 the animal field, with special attention to beta-lactams, glycopeptides and linezolid. The emergence of new antimicrobial resistance genes in enterococci of animal origin, as is 13 14 the case of *optrA* or *cfr*, will be highlighted. The molecular epidemiology and the population structure of E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates in farm and companion animals 15 will be presented. Moreover, the type of plasmids that carry the antimicrobial resistance 16 genes in enterococci of animal origin will be reviewed. 17

#### 1 1.- INTRODUCTION

*Enterococcus* species are natural inhabitants of the intestinal tract in humans and animals,
and due to their ubiquity in human and animal feces and their persistence in the
environment, enterococci are considered as indicators of fecal contamination in water (1).
Moreover, enterococci serve as important key indicator bacteria for several human and
veterinary resistance surveillance systems.

During evisceration process at slaughterhouses, fecal enterococci can contaminate food
products of animal origin. As a matter of fact, some studies reported that over 90% of
food samples of animal orgin are contaminated with enterococci at the slaughterhouses,
mostly with *Enterococcus faecalis*, followed by *Enterococcus faecium* (1, 2). In addition,
enterococci are opportunistic pathogens, which become one of the main causes of
nosocomial and community acquired human infections, including septicemia,
endocarditis, and urinary tract infections, among others (3).

14 The genus Enterococcus presently contains over 50 species, and E. faecalis and E. fae-15 *cium* are the predominant isolated species accounting for more thant 80% of the isolates. 16 In addition, these two species are considered as the third- to-forth most prevalent nosocomial pathogens worldwide (4). Others, such as E. hirae, E. avium, E. durans, E. galli-17 narum, E. casseliflavus or E. raffinosus, are rare causes of human clinical infections and 18 thought to be more opportunistic in nature than those caused by E. faecium and E. faecalis 19 20 (5-10). E. faecalis and E. faecium are also the most representative enterococcal species 21 detected in the human intestine, being occasionally detected other species, like E. durans 22 and E. avium (11). The most commonly encountered enterococcal species in the gut of 23 animals are E. faecalis, E. faecium, E. hirae, and E. durans, being other species also de-24 tected sporadically, or in particular age groups (such as *E. cecorum* in older poultry) (11, 12). Several members of the genus *Enterococcus* can cause bovine mastitis, endocarditis, 25

septicemia and amyloid encephalopathy with sudden death in chickens (13), and diarrhea
in dogs, cats, pigs and rats (12). In the last decade, *E. cecorum* has also emerged as an
important poultry pathogen, associated with arthritis and osteomyelitis (14-15).

The intrinsic resistance to several antimicrobial agents compromised the choice of 4 therapeutic options to treat enterococcal infections. Those intrinsic resistances confer 5 6 resistance to semisynthetic penicillins (low level), aminoglycosides (low level), 7 vancomycin (E. gallinarum, E. casseliflavus and E. flavescens species), or polymyxins 8 and streptogramins (E. faecalis) (11). Moreover, enterococci frequently acquire antimicrobial resistance genes through plasmids and/or transposons. The antibiotic 9 10 resistances in *Enterococcus* spp. have been reviewed previously (3, 16-18), which focus 11 on specific agents (as vancomycin [19-22] or aminoglycosides [23]) or sources 12 (livestock/food [24-26]). The zoonotic transmission potential of antimicrobial resistant enterococci has also been reviewed [27]. In the present chapter, we update the available 13 14 knowledge on the prevalence and molecular mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in 15 enterococcal isolates from a wide range of animals (livestock, pets and wildlife) and animal-derived food, with particular emphasis on beta-lactams, vancomycin and 16 linezolid. Furthermore, we outline the major clonal lineages and plasmids responsible for 17 18 antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus from farm and companion animals.

# DIVERSITY OF ENTEROCOCCAL SPECIES IN ANIMAL INTESTINAL TRACT.

Enterococci are ubiquitous bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and a wide range of animals (mammals, reptiles, birds, and some invertebrates). In addition, they are also commonly found in vegetables, water, soil and food derived from animals (including fermented and dairy products) (11). Enterococci are classified as acid lactic bacteria,

highly adaptable to different environmental conditions. They survive over a wide range
of temperature (10-45°C), and pH (4.8-9.6), and are able to grow at high salt concentration
(up 6.5% NaCl). Most of them can hydrolyze esculin in the presence of 40% bile salts, a
characteristic used for phenotypic identification processes (11). These and other
properties explain the utilization of enterococci in diverse roles and, for instance, they
have been used as probiotics, starter cultures, bio-preservatives or indicators of fecal
contamination of water and sanitary quality of food (28-30).

Genomic analysis revealed that members of the genus Enterococcus have a low G+C 8 9 content, ranging from 34.29% to 44.75% (31). For a long time, Enterococcus species were considered as Streptococci of Lancefield group D. In 1984, application of nucleic 10 hybridization and 16S rRNA sequencing led to a reclassification of Streptococcus fae-11 12 cium and Streptococcus faecalis in the genus Enterococcus (32). Currently, this genus includes around 50 species (33). Many of them were discovered in the present century, 13 mostly recovered from non-human sources, such as plants (E. plantarum, E. ureilyticus), 14 15 water (E. quebecensis, E. rivorum, E. ureasiticus), animals (E. canis, E. phoeniculicola, E. devriesei) and food products (E. thailandicus; E. italicus) (34-42). 16

17 A recent genomic study, which compared the concatenated nucleotide sequences of the core genes of 37 enterococci belonging to a variety of species, divided these strains into 18 6 branches: (i) E. faecium branch (containing E. faecium, E. mundtii, E. durans, E. hirae, 19 20 E. ratti, E. villorum, E. thailandicus, E. phoeniculicol), (ii) E. faecalis branch (E. faecalis, 21 E. termitis, E. quebecensis, E. moraviensis, E. caccae, E. hemoperoxidus, E. silesiacus), 22 (iii) E. dispar branch (E. dispar, E. canintestini, E. asini), (iv) E. casseliflavus branch (E. casseliflavus, E. gallinarum, E. aquimarinus, E. saccharolyticus, E. italicus, E. sulfureus, 23 24 E. cecorum and E. columbae), (v) E. pallens branch (E. pallens, E. hermanniensis, E. devriesei, E. gilvus, E. malodoratus, E. avium, E. raffinosus) and, (vi) E. canis branch, 25

which contained only one strain (31). Results showed that most strains from human and
 other mammals were clustered into *E. faecium*, *E. faecalis*, *E. dispar* and *E. pallens* branches, whereas the majority of the bird isolates belonged to *E. casseliflavus* branch.

In 1963, Mundt and colleagues carried out a relevant survey of the occurrence of entero-4 cocci among animals living in the wild environment (43). They obtained enterococci from 5 6 the feces of 71% of the studied mammals, 86% of the reptiles and 32% of the birds. In addition, patterns of food and animal species dependence were observed. In general, en-7 8 terococci were only isolated sporadically in samples recovered from herbivorous mam-9 mals. However, they were abundant in rodents, bats, and larger animals with omnivorous 10 or carnivorous diet (43). But, as demonstrated in several other reports, the differences in 11 the proportions of enterococci in each niche, as well as the species distributions, not only 12 vary according to the diet, also to seasonal changes, individual characteristics (gender, age), and geographic location (11, 44). 13

In general, E. faecium, E. faecalis, E. hirae and E. durans are the most prevalent entero-14 15 coccal species in the gastrointestinal tract of humans and other mammals (11). E. cecorum is also a relevant member of the normal enterococcal microbiota in the gut of farm and 16 17 pet animals (cattle, pigs, dogs, cats) and birds (poultry and pigeons) (45-47). However, in chickens, a significant age-dependent increase in gut colonization has been reported for 18 19 this species. In fact, E. cecorum has found to be a dominant part of the enterococcal gas-20 trointestinal microbiota in mature chickens (48). Some other species, such as E. galli-21 *narum* and *E. avium*, which were first described in chickens, have not been frequently 22 detected among enterococcal gut population in poultry (49, 50).

In cattle and swine, the proportions of the enterococcal species varies across studies. *E. faecium*, *E. durans*, *E. hirae* and *E. faecalis* were unanimously found in different surveys
(46, 50-52). In some works, *E. faecalis* was the predominant enterococcal species in the

gut of bovine and swine (46, 53). In others, E. hirae and E. faecium were described as the 1 2 more abundant bacteria in both livestock species (44, 51, 52). As observed, variations 3 between geographical regions might explain these differences in the composition of the enterococcal populations (44). E. casseliflavus, E. gallinarum, E. avium and E. cecorum 4 have also been reported as part of the bovine and swine microbiota, but they were present 5 in lower proportions (46, 50, 51). Additionally, some minoritary species, such as E. vil-6 *lorum* and *E. thailandicus*, have been sporadically detected in feces from cattle and pigs 7 (52, 54, 55). 8

9 The enterococcal microbiota of the intestinal tract of dogs and cats showed a predomi-10 nance of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium*, followed by *E. hirae* (56-59). *E. avium* has been 11 commonly isolated in canines and also, although in less proportion, in felines' feces (56, 12 57). Other species, such as *E. durans*, *E. gallinarum*, *E. casseliflavus*, *E. cecorum* and *E.* 13 *raffinosus*, have been occasionally reported (56, 58, 59). In addition, some newly charac-14 terized species were isolated from anal swabs and chronic otitis externa (*E. canis*) and 15 fecal samples (*E. canintestini*) of dogs (34, 60).

Enterococci are also normal residents of the gut of a wide range of free-living animals. In 16 17 pigeons, the predominant species is E. columbae and, to a lesser extent, E. cecorum. However, E. faecium and E. faecalis are rare in these birds (61). Other study reported a high 18 19 prevalence of enterococci among three different species of coraciiform birds (74%), with 20 a dominance of E. faecalis, followed by E. casseliflavus (62). In Portugal, E. faecium was 21 the most frequently encountered species in buzzard fecal samples (63), and E. faecium, E. durans and E. gallinarum in feces of a variety of wild birds (64). Enterococcal gut 22 23 microbiota has also been analyzed in wild marine species. E. faecium was identified as the most abundant species in echinoderms collected from Azorean waters. Minor species, 24 such as E. hirae, E. faecalis and E. gallinarum, were also detected (65). In a recent study 25

from Southern Brazil, different wild marine animals were analyzed using real-time quantitative PCR to identify and quantify enterococci in feces. These bacteria were found in all the studied animal species, with a dominance of *E. faecalis* and *E. mundtii* in most of the marine mammals, *E. faecalis* in green turtles, Magellanic penguins and albatross, and *E. hirae* and *E. gallinarum* in white-backet stilt (66). Enterococci are also a relevant part of the facultative anaerobic microbiota of the gastrointestinal tract of large wild mammals (wolf, wild-boar, deer...) and rodents (67-69).

Administration of antibiotics in both human and animal medicine may shift the gut microbial community, allowing drug-resistant strains (e.g. vancomycin-resistant enterococci) to proliferate dramatically. As many enterococcal infections are caused by normal inhabitants of the gastrointestinal tract that become opportunistic pathogens, the selection of antibiotic-resistant strains raises the risk of developing difficult-to-treat infections. The following sections give an overview of the mechanisms and prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in enterococci in the animal setting.

# 15 3.- ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE IN ENTEROCOCCI OF ANIMALS AND 16 FOOD OF ANIMAL ORIGIN

### 17 **3.1.-** Beta-lactam resistance

Enterococci are intrinsically resistant to cephalosporins and present a natural reduced susceptibility to penicillins, due to the expression of low affinity penicillin binding proteins (PBPs) that bind weakly to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics. For this reason, the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for penicillins are higher in enterococci than in streptococci or other Gram-positive organisms, that do not produce chromosomallyencoded low-affinity PBPs (17). *E. faecalis* isolates normally exhibit lower MIC values for penicillins than *E. faecium* (18).

All enterococci have at least five PBPs, and six putative PBP genes have been detected
by genomic analysis in the *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* species (class A: *ponA, pbpF, pbpZ*;
class B: *pbp5, pbpA, pbpB*) (18). The expression of the species-specific chromosomallylocated *pbp5* gene, which encodes PBP5, with low affinity binding for penicillins and
cephalosporins, is associated to the intrinsic resistance for beta-lactams. In *E. faecium*,
the *pbp5* gene is included within an operon, together with other two genes that are also
implicated in cell wall synthesis (*psr* and *ftsW*) (18).

8 Acquired (enhanced) resistance for penicillins (penicillin or ampicillin) has been 9 frequently detected among clinical E. faecium isolates, being rare in the species E. 10 *faecalis*. High level ampicillin resistance in *E. faecium* ( $\geq 128 \,\mu$ g/ml) has been associated 11 with the increased production of PBP5 (requiring a higher concentration of the agent to 12 saturate the active site) or to specific amino acid changes in its sequence, that make the low affinity PBP5 even less susceptible to inhibition by penicillins (70, 71). The amino 13 14 acid substitutions near the Ser-Thr-Phe-Lys, Ser-Asp-Ala and Lys-Thr-Gly motifs, which are part of the active-site cavity, seems to be the most significant ones (16). 15

Combinations of specific amino acid changes in the C-terminal transpeptidase domain of 16 17 PBP5 (specially the substitution Met-485-Ala/Thr, but also the changes Ala-499-Ile/Thr, Glu-629-Val or Pro-667-Ser), and the insertion of serine or aspartic acid after position 18 19 466, have been associated to ampicillin resistance in *E. faecium* isolates (72-76). It has 20 been found that single substitutions at positions 485, 499, 629 and 466-insertion have 21 only slight influence in ampicillin MIC, but when combined, the effect increases. Mutations in genes encoding other species-specific proteins that participate in the cell 22 23 wall synthesis may also slightly increase the MIC value (76).

Two distinct allelic forms have been identified when the whole sequence of *pbp5* gene is
considered, which differ in 5% of the sequence, yielding two types of PBP5 (PBP5-S and

PBP5-R) with changes in 21 amino acid residues. The type PBP5-S is usually detected in community-associated ampicillin-susceptible *E. faecium* isolates (MIC usually  $\leq 2$  $\mu$ g/ml), and the type PBP5-R usually detected in hospital-associated ampicillin-resistant isolates (MIC usually  $\geq 16 \mu$ g/ml) (77, 78). A hybrid-like type of PBP5 (PBP5-S/R), with a sequence between the other two types, has been observed in some isolates with a MIC for ampicillin around 4  $\mu$ g/ml (77, 78).

Considering the population structure of E. faecium, two main lineages have been 7 8 postulated in humans: 1) Subclade A1: hospital-associated, enriched in mobile genetic 9 elements, usually implicated in human infections and, in most cases, ampicillin-resistant 10 (MIC  $\geq$  16 µg/ml) with the consensus allele *pbp5*-R; and 2) Clade B: community-11 associated, detected in isolates of healthy humans (not implicated in infections), generally 12 ampicillin-susceptible (MIC  $\leq 2 \mu g/ml$ ), harboring the consensus allele *pbp*5-S. The subclade A2 includes E. faecium isolates mostly of the animal setting, exhibits a wide 13 14 range of ampicillin MIC values,  $(0.5-128 \,\mu g/ml)$ , and generally carries the hybrid-like pbp5 allele (pbp5-S/R) (72, 78, 79). In addition to amino acid sequence alteration in 15 PBP5, elevated levels of this protein are also observed in higly-ampicillin-resistant 16 isolates of clade A (subclade A1 and part of A2), but not in the ampicillin-susceptible 17 isolates of subclade A2 and clade B, suggesting a differential regulation process in each 18 19 clade. The upstream region of *pbp5* seems to have a role in the level of expression of the 20 gene (72).

In *E. faecalis*, acquired ampicillin resistance is unusual, but is generally mediated by mutations in *pbp*4 (27, 80). Selected strains of *E. faecalis* produce a plasmid-mediated beta-lactamase that is similar to the enzyme produced by *S. aureus* (17, 81), encoded by the *blaZ* gene, although some polymorphisms in this gene have also been detected in some isolates. This beta-lactamase is expressed in a constitutive way in *E. faecalis*, in contrast to the inducible production in *S. aureus*. The enzyme is produced in low amount
in *E. faecalis*, and for this reason, the strain can appear as ampicillin susceptible when the
MIC is tested *in vitro*. In any case, this mechanism of resistance is very infrequent in *E. faecalis*. Very unusual beta-lactamase producer *E. faecium* strains have also been reported
(82). Chromosomal beta-lactamase-encoding genes conferring ampicillin resistance have
also been detected in *E. faecium* isolates (83).

It has been previously reported the *in vitro* transferability of *pbp5* in *E. faecium* isolates 7 8 (84), what suggests a mechanism by which high-level ampicillin resistance conferred by 9 mutated *pbp5* alleles could be disseminated among clinical isolates. Moreover, Novais et 10 al. (85) demonstrated the *in vitro* ampicillin-resistance transference by conjugation in 11 28% of the *E. faecium* isolates from a pig farm environment, although the genetic basis 12 of this transference was not determined. Co-diversification of E. faecium core genome and *pbp5* has been recently analyzed showing evidences of *pbp5* horizontal transfer (86). 13 Different studies have evaluated the prevalence of penicillin or ampicillin resistance in 14 15 enterococci from food producing animals, pets or wild animals, as well as in those from food of animal origin. In relation with E. faecium, the prevalence of resistance is variable 16 17 depending on the countries and the type of animals. In this sense, no resistant E. faecium isolates were detected in a surveillance study performed in cattle population at slaughter 18 19 in Australia (87), but a rate of 30% of resistance was detected in isolates of poultry in 20 Portugal (88). In relation with pets, the following ampicillin resistance rates were reported 21 among E. faecium isolates: 63%/37% in dogs/cats in the USA, and 3% in pets in Portugal 22 (58, 88). Moreover, ampicillin resistant *E. faecium* isolates were detected in 23% of the 23 dogs screened in a cross-sectional study in the United Kingdom and in 76% of the dogs analyzed in a longitudinal study in Denmark (89). Most of these resistant isolates 24 25 belonged to the hospital-adapted clonal complex CC17. Frequencies of ampicillin

resistance in the range of 4.5-7.7% have been detected in *E. faecium* isolates recovered
from different wild animals (wild boar, Iberian wolf or Gilthead seabream) (74, 90, 91),
but no resistant isolates were detected in Iberian Lynx (92).

A surveillance study was performed in the USA analyzing the prevalence of antimicrobial 4 resistance in 21077 Enterococcus isolates obtained from retail meat samples in the USA 5 6 between 2002-2014, through the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) (2). A low frequency of ampicillin resistance was detected among E. faecium 7 8 isolates of ground beef and pork chops (4% and 2.7%, respectively), but higher 9 percentages were detected in the case of retail chicken (26%), and even higher for ground 10 turkey (62.6%). Bortolaia et al. (25) reviewed the data of ampicillin resistance reported 11 in different European countries (Denmark, Sweden, The Netherlands, Slovenia) and the 12 USA for E. faecium isolates recovered from poultry meat, comparing with human isolates in the same countries (93-95). Human isolates showed very high rates of ampicillin 13 14 resistance in works of all countries (>80% but resistance in food isolates was significantly lower than in humans. It is of note the detection of 10% of ampicillin resistance in E. 15 faecium of (imported) broiler meat in Denmark and >50% of resistance in isolates of 16 turkey meat in the USA. No ampicillin resistant E. faecalis isolates (with very few 17 exceptions) have been reported in animals or food of animal origin. 18

19 **3.2** Glycopeptide resistance

### 20 **3.2.1.- Mechanism of resistance**

Vancomycin and teicoplanin are two important members of the glycopeptide family, used
for the treatment of severe human infections. Avoparcin, another member of this family,
has been extensively used in the past as growth promoter in food producing animals in
many countries.

The mechanism of action of glycopeptides is the inhibition of the synthesis of the bacterial 1 2 cell wall, by the link to the D-Ala-D-Ala terminus of the pentapeptide precursor of the 3 peptidoglycan, preventing cross-linking of peptidoglycan chain and inhibiting cell wall synthesis. The main mechanism of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci implicates the 4 alteration of the peptidoglycan synthesis pathway. In this sense, the terminus D-Ala-D-5 Ala of the pentapeptide to which vancomycin binds, is modified to D-Ala-D-Lac (causing 6 7 high level vancomycin resistance,  $>64 \,\mu g/ml$ ) or to D-Ala-D-Ser (low level vancomycin 8 resistance, 4-32 µg/ml). These modified cell-wall precursors bind glycopeptides with 9 reduced affinity (about 1,000-fold and 7-fold for D-Lac and D-Ser substitutions, 10 respectively) (18, 22).

11 The first vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) with an acquired mechanism of 12 resistance were detected three decades ago in clinical E. faecium isolates in France and United Kingdom (96, 97). Since then, VRE have been extensively described in hospitals 13 14 worldwide, and especially frequent in the United States (USA) since the decade of the 15 90's of last century, mostly in patients of intensive care units, and in a lower level in Europe since the 2000's (21). According with surveillance data of the ECDC (EARS-16 Net), the EU/EEA population-weighted mean percentage of vancomycin resistance in E. 17 faecium was of 11.8% in 2016, and national percentages ranged from 0% to 46.3%; the 18 19 prevalence of vancomycin resistance in the case of E. faecalis was lower (98).

Vancomycin resistance is mediated by *van* operons, which encode the modified
peptidoglycan precursors. To date, eight different *van* operons have been identified in
enterococci mediating acquired vancomycin resistance (*vanA*, *vanB*, *vanD*, *vanE*, *vanG*, *vanL*, *vanM*, and *vanN*), and one additional operon in intrinsic vancomycin resistance
(*vanC*) (18, 19, 99-102). Three variants have been described of *vanC* gene (*vanC1*, *vanC2 and vanC3*), intrinsic of the species *E. gallinarum*, *E. casseliflavus* and *E. flavescens*,

respectively. Moreover, different subtypes have been identified for *vanB* (*vanB1*, *vanB2* and *vanB3*), *vanD* (*D1* to *D5*) and *vanG* (*G1*, *G2*) (100, 103, 104). An additional variant,
 *vanF*, has also been described, but until now only in the environmental microorganism
 *Paenibacillus popilliae* (105).

The *vanA* and *vanB* are the most frequent genotypes among VRE with acquired resistance
mechanisms of humans and animals, mostly among *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* species.
The genotypes *vanD*, *vanE*, *vanG*, *vanL*, *vanM* and *vanN* are very unusual in VRE
isolates, and the species *E. faecalis* (*vanE/G/L*) and *E. faecium* (*vanD/M/N*) are the most
common carriers (22).

The vanA operon is associated with the transposon Tn1546, and includes seven open 10 11 reading frames transcribed under two different promoters (106). Regulation is mediated 12 by a vanS-vanR (sensor-kinase-response regulator) two-component system, transcribed 13 with a common promoter (107). The remaining genes are transcribed from a second promoter (22). The proteins encoded by vanH (dehydrogenase that converts pyruvate into 14 15 lactate) and vanA (ligase that forms D-Ala-D-Lac dipeptide) modify the synthesis of peptidoglycan precursors; moreover the proteins encoded by both vanX (dipeptidadase 16 17 that cleaves D-Ala-D-Ala) and vanY (D, D-carboxipeptidase), interrupt the formation of the D-Ala-D-Ala end of the pentapeptide, and vanZ gene is related to teicoplanin 18 19 resistance (22, 108). Different IS elements can be included into the vanA operon, 20 rendering different variants (109).

The *vanB* operon has been associated to different transposons (Tn*1547*, Tn*1549* and Tn*5382*). The Tn*1549* is widely prevalent among *vanB*-type enterococci, in most of the cases located in the chromosome and less frequently on plasmids (22). The structure of the *vanB* operon is similar to the one of *vanA*, with two promoters and seven open reading frames, but with important differences, mostly in the two-component signaling regulatory system (encoded by *vanR<sub>B</sub>* and *vanS<sub>B</sub>*), and in the absence of an homolog of *vanZ* (substituted by *vanW*, of unknown function); consequently, *vanB*-enterococci show
 vancomycin resistance (high or low level) but teicoplanin susceptibility (22, 108).

4 The structure of the different *van* operons and their mechanisms of action have been
5 extensively reviewed in previous studies (17-19, 21, 22, 108, 110).

6 Origin of vancomycin resistance. Partially pre-assembled glycopeptide resistance-7 associated gene clusters present in environmental organisms are suggested as the source of the vancomycin resistance genes in VRE (105, 111). The environmental organism P. 8 9 popilliae, carrier of a vanF variant with a high similarity at the amino acid level to vanA, 10 has been suggested as the potential origin of vancomycin resistance in enterococci. In a 11 lesser extent, this role could also be attributed to glycopeptide-producing organisms (e.g. 12 the vancomycin-producing organism Amycolatopsis orientalis), which require these 13 genes to inhibit the action of produced glycopeptides (111). Nevertheless, the genes in these organisms are probably not the direct source of the enterococcal vancomycin 14 15 resistance genes since they are similar, but not identical; in this sense, transference could have occurred from a common ancestral bacterium, or via one or more bacterial 16 17 intermediaries. In addition, considering the differences in G+C content, as well as the sequence homology among different organisms, it is possible that the genes of the van 18 19 cluster could have more than one origin (111).

#### 20 **3.2.2.-** Historical aspects related to glycopeptide resistance

During the decade of the 1990s, VRE with the *vanA* genotype emerged in food producing animals, healthy humans, food products and environmental samples throughout Europe and other countries; this fact was linked to the use of the glycopeptide avoparcin since the mid-1970s, in sub-therapeutical concentrations, as animal growth promoter (22, 26,

1 112, 113). This hypothesis was tested in poultry flocks and pig herds receiving or not 2 avoparcin, confirming the significant role of avoparcin in VRE selection in the animals 3 (112, 113). This association was also corroborated in an animal model with young chickens receiving avoparcin supplementation (114). Avoparcin as growth promoter was 4 banned in the European Union (EU) in 1997, and a clear decrease in VRE fecal carriage 5 in food producing animals and healthy humans was observed (115), as well as in food-6 7 derived products. Nevertheless, VRE persisted in the animal setting many years after 8 avoparcin ban (116, 117). A similar situation happened in Taiwan after the ban of 9 avoparcin in 2000 that resulted in a clear decrease of VRE prevalence in chicken, although 10 still persisted in this animal population (118). In relation with dogs, high rates of fecal 11 VRE carriage was reported before avoparcin ban in the EU (119), although no VRE was detected in dogs in Spain after a decade of banning (120). The frequency of human 12 13 infections by VRE in the EU was low during the period of high prevalence in animals, but an increase in the frequency of VRE-related human infections was evidenced since 14 1999 (22). 15

The situation in the United States and Canada was completely different comparing with 16 EU. Avoparcin use has never been approved in animal production in those countries, and 17 18 VRE was not reported in animals until the end of 2000 decade (20, 76, 121, 122). 19 Nevertheless, in North America, VRE was very frequent causing human infections, especially in patients of the Intensive Care Units, what was attributed to the high use of 20 vancomycin in humans (22, 123). The differences in VRE prevalence in humans and 21 22 animals in the EU and USA before and after the avoparcin ban in the EU, introduce some doubts about the possible routes of transmission of VRE determinants between animals 23 24 and humans (22, 124).

1 Different theories have been postulated to explain the persistence of VRE in food-2 producing animals after the avoparcin ban in the EU and in other countries, as is the 3 coselection by the use of other antimicrobials (like erythromycin or tetracycline). In fact, it has been shown that vanA and erm(B) genes (this last one implicated in erythromycin 4 resistance) are frequently located in the same transferable plasmids (113). Moreover, the 5 6 tcrB gene, implicated in copper resistance, has been detected in pig E. faecium isolates in the same plasmid as the vanA and erm(B) genes (125). On the other hand, the presence of 7 plasmid addition systems in the same plasmid that carries vanA gene could forces bacteria 8 to retain the resistance (125). 9

#### 10 **3.2.3 VRE in food producing animals and food of animal origin**

**Table 1 and 2** summarize the papers that have been published related to the prevalence and mechanisms of vancomycin resistance in enterococci of food-producing animals, and food of animal origin, respectively, as well as the genetic lineages of the isolates (when available). Data have been organized by animal species (poultry, pigs or cattle, among others), and by the year the isolates were recovered. Many of the studies have been performed in different European countries, but also in many countries of America, Africa, or Asia, as well as in Australia and New Zealand.

Most of the surveys on **food producing animals** reported *E. faecium* as the major species 18 of the genus *Enterococcus* exhibiting acquired resistance to vancomycin, in most of the 19 20 cases with the vanA genotype. However, vanA-containing E. faecalis, and in a lesser 21 extent E. durans and E. hirae isolates, have also been quite frequently detected in food producing animals (Table 1) (27, 85, 87, 114, 121, 122, 125-165). Other enterococcal 22 species have occasionally been reported as vanA-carriers, as is the case of E. mundtii in 23 24 poultry in Hungary (130), E. casseliflavus in cattle in France (158), and in equine and swine in Italy (159). Available data indicates that vanA gene was, by far, the main 25

responsible for acquired VRE cases in food-producing animals worldwide, regardless the 1 2 species. Nevertheless, the *vanB* gene (and specially the *vanB2* variant) was occasionally 3 detected. The first detection of vanB2 in animals was in a vancomycin-resistant E. hirae isolate recovered from a pig in Spain in 2008 (145); later on, vanB-positive E. faecium 4 and E. faecalis isolates were detected in poultry in Czech Republic (132) and in 5 Enterococcus spp. in pigs in South Africa (147). Moreover, vanCl was detected as an 6 7 acquired gene in isolates of the species E. faecium, E. faecalis and E. mundtii in poultry 8 in Australia (140). In most of the studies, VRE were detected when a selective protocol 9 with media supplemented with vancomycin was used (Table 1). Resistance frequencies 10 varied depending on the type of animals tested (poultry: 0-77%; pigs: 0-25.3%; and cattle: 11 0-0.5%), the year in which the study was performed, the country and the protocol used for VRE recovery (see Table 1). vanA-containing enterococci have also been detected in 12 13 ostriches and mullet fish in Portugal (prevalence of resistance of 7.4% and 3.9%, respectively) (164). In eight of the revised papers in which VRE were detected in food-14 producing animals, the data of MLST was provided for vanA-positive E. faecium (most 15 of isolates) or E. faecalis isolates. A wide variety of sequence types (ST) were identified 16 17 among the E. faecium isolates from poultry and pigs (>30 different STs) (27, 85, 121, 18 122, 127, 129, 144, 156). Also, the lineage ST6 (CC2) was identified in E. faecalis of pig 19 origin (85).

The *E. faecium* species carrier of *vanA* gene was the most frequent VRE detected in **food of animal origin**. Nevertheless, *vanA*-containing *E. faecalis, E. durans* and *E. hirae* isolates were, as well, frequently detected in these type of samples (**Table 2**) (2, 118, 128, 133, 162, 166-194). VRE with *vanB* gene was found in *E. faecium* isolates from veal and chicken in Spain (ST17-*vanB2*) (188), and in different types of food in Greece (*vanB2/3*) and Spain (*vanB*) (181, 190). It is interesting the identification of the unusual *van*N gene

in 5 E. faecium isolates of chicken meat origin in Japan, showing low level of vancomycin 1 2 resistance (MIC 12 µg/ml) (177). Moreover, of relevance is the unusual detection of 3 vanA-containing E. cecorum isolates in chicken samples from Japan (168), vanA-positive E. gallinarum in fishes from Egypt (193), or vanC1-positive E. faecalis isolates in sheep 4 milk samples from Spain (192). The frequencies of detection of VRE with acquired 5 resistance in food samples were variable (Table 1). In chicken and pork food samples 6 7 analyzed in the period 1996-1999, the prevalence was in the range of 4.2-34% (**Table 2**), 8 with a few exceptions (1.3%) (167). Very high frequencies were detected in different 9 types of food in Korea (44%) (133), but no VRE were found in the studies performed in the USA (2, 171, 185). In some cases, isolates showing a phenotype usually associated to 10 11 vanB genotype (high-level resistance to vancomycin, susceptibility to teicoplanin) were detected in *Enterococcus* strains harboring the vanA gene (118, 168, 173). 12

13

#### **3.2.4. VRE in companion animals**

Table 3 shows the detection of VRE with acquired mechanisms of resistance in 14 15 companion animals. *vanA*-containing *E*. *faecium* has been the unique type of VRE with acquired resistance reported in dogs and cats (136, 145, 195-202). These isolates, 16 17 recovered from fecal samples in the period 1996-2003, were found in USA, Spain and Portugal, with variable frequencies of detection (ranging from 2.8 to 22.7%) (136, 145, 18 19 195, 196). No VRE have been detected in studies performed in the following years (Table 20 3), not even in sick dogs (197, 200). Vancomycin-resistant E. faecium and E. durans 21 isolates have been detected in fecal samples of equids obtained between 2007-2008 22 (prevalence 4.4%), in a study performed in Portugal (202).

3.2.5- VRE in free-living animals 23

1 **Table 3** also shows the detection of VRE with acquired mechanisms of resistance in free-2 living animals, including different species of mammals and birds (136, 165, 203-226). Many studies have been performed in this type of animals, including various countries of 3 Europe, America (USA, Canada and Brazil) and Africa (Tunisia and Tanzania). The most 4 frequently detected mechanism of resistance was vanA, mainly among E. faecium 5 isolates, followed by E. faecalis (E. durans and E. hirae were infrequently detected). 6 Occasionally, enterococci were vanB-carriers: two small mammals (Rattus rattus) 7 8 harbored vanB2-containing E. faecalis ST6 isolates in Spain (204), and E. faecium vanB 9 was detected in wild game meat also in Spain (226). The frequencies of detection of vanA-10 containing enterococci in wild animals ranged from 0% to 13.5%, with the highest values 11 detected in red foxes, seagulls and buzzards in Portugal (9-13.5%) (216, 220, 222). It is of interest the detection of vanA-containing E. faecium isolates ascribed to different 12 sequence types included in the high-risk clonal complex CC17 (ST18, ST262, ST273, 13 ST280, ST313, ST362, ST412, ST448, and ST555). These isolates were detected in 14 corvids in USA and in mullet fish, gilthead seabream, seagulls, buzzards, partridges, red 15 foxes and Iberian wolves in Portugal (Table 3). 16

### 17 **3.3.-** Resistance to linezolid

The wide spread of VRE in many countries make necessary to look for other therapeutic options, and linezolid is an important one. This oxazolidinone, introduced in 2000 in USA and in 2001 in the United Kingdom, is an important agent for the treatment not only of VRE, but also of other gram-positive bacteria, as is the case of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA).

Linezolid resistance is still unusual among enterococci but is emerging in the last years
in human and animal isolates (227). Mutations in the central loop of the domain V of the
23 rDNA is the most common mechanism of resistance in enterococci, being the amino

acid change G2576T the predominant one, although other changes have also been 1 2 described (G2505A, U2500A, G2447U, C2534U or G2603U) (18). E. faecalis and E. faecium possess among four and six 23S rDNA alleles per genome, respectively, and 3 depending on the number of mutated *versus* wild-type alleles per genome, correlate with 4 the level of resistance of the isolates (227). In some cases, this mechanism appears along 5 the course of treatment with oxazolidinones, and nosocomial transmission of linezolid-6 7 resistant enterococci has been reported (228). Linezolid-resistant E. faecalis and E. 8 gallinarum isolates of swine origin were detected in China (MIC 8-16 µg/ml), and the 9 nucleic acid change G2576T was identified in the 23S rDNA of these isolates (229). 10 Mutations in the ribosomal proteins L3, L4 and L22, can confer decreased susceptibility 11 to linezolid in enterococci and staphylococci (230).

12 In the last years, concern exists about the emergence of transferable resistance to linezolid, associated with the acquisition of the cfr gene, or with the recently described 13 14 optrA gene. The cfr gene has been detected in enterococci of both human and animal origins (231) and encodes an rRNA methyltransferase that modifies the adenine residue 15 at position 2503 in domain V of the 23S rRNA; it confers resistance to oxazolidinones, 16 phenicols, lincosamides, pleuromutilins and streptogramin A (phenotype named as 17 PhLOPS<sub>A</sub>) (18). Among oxazolidinones, linezolid is mostly affected by cfr gene, showing 18 19 telizolid, a new compound of this family, increased activity in *cfr*-positive enterococci, 20 and so, isolates being susceptible for this agent. Table 4 shows a summary of the data published until now in relation to linezolid resistance mechanisms in enterococci of 21 22 animal and food origins, as well as in enterococci of environmental origin (229, 232-241). 23 The *cfr* gene was identified for the first time in enterococci in 2011, specifically in an *E*. faecalis isolate recovered in a dairy farm in China (232). Since then, the cfr gene has been 24 detected in human clinical E. faecalis isolates (242), as well as in swine E. casseliflavus, 25

*E. gallinarum* and *E. faecalis* isolates in China or Brazil (233-235), and in a cattle *E. faecalis* isolate in China (234). A second variant of the *cfr* gene, named *cfr*(B), has been
described in *E. faecium* isolates of human origin. This new plasmid-located variant, is
more similar to a *cfr-like* gene of *Clostridium difficile* than to the *cfr* genes of
staphylococci or other enterococcal species (243, 244), and has so far not been detected
in enterococci of animal origin.

7 The novel optrA gene confers transferable resistance to oxazolidinones (both linezolid 8 and telizolid) and phenicoles (chloramphenicol and florfenicol) and has been detected in 9 E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates of both human and animal origins (236). This gene 10 encodes an ABC transporter and has been detected more frequently in E. faecalis than in 11 E. faecium isolates, and also more frequently in isolates from food-producing animals 12 (pigs and chicken), than in those of human origin (236). The optrA gene has been detected both in chromosomal as well as in plasmidic location in animal and human E. faecalis 13 14 and E. faecium isolates. As shown in Table 4, optrA-positive enterococci have been detected in food producing animals (poultry, pigs and, occasionally, cattle) in Asiatic 15 countries, mostly in E. faecalis and E. faecium belonging to many different sequence 16 types, and sporadically in *E. gallinarum*. The prevalence of *optrA*-positive enterococci 17 represents 10% and 5.7% of total E. faecalis and E. faecium, respectively, obtained from 18 19 fecal samples of poultry and pigs in a study performed in China (236). In a recent study carried out in Korea, 11,659 E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates obtained from fecal and 20 21 carcass samples of healthy cattle, pigs and chickens from farms and slaughter houses 22 during 2003-2014, were tested for linezolid resistance, detecting a rate of resistance of 0.33%, mainly attributed to optrA carriage (238). The optrA gene has also been detected 23 24 in sporadic isolates of *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* (n=3) obtained in meat samples in Denmark (imported poultry, and veal), that represented <0.1% of total enterococci 25

recovered from these samples (239). In the American continent, *optrA* has been detected
 in three *E. faecalis* isolates of poultry meat origin, co-harboring *fexA*, *tet*(L) and *Isa*(A)
 resistance genes (240). Both *cfr* and *optrA* genes have been detected associated in VRE
 isolates of human origin (245), but not in animal isolates so far.

The *optr*A gene has also been detected in two *E. faecalis* isolates of the lineage ST86
recovered from urban wastewater in Tunisia, accounting for 1% of all chloramphenicol
resistant enterococci tested (241); the *optr*A gene was located within a transferable
mosaic plasmid, that also contained the *fex*A and *erm*(A) genes.

9 At least 12 and 5 polymorphic variants of the optrA gene have been detected among human and animal enterococci, respectively (237, 246-248). The wild OptrA type 10 11 (OptrA<sub>E349</sub>), and the variants Tyr176Asp + Lys3Glu-Gly393Asp or Thr481Pro or 12 Thr112Lys or Gly393Asp, have been found among animal isolates (237, 246). Functional cfr and optrA genes have been identified in both enterococci and S. aureus. In most of the 13 animal isolates, the optrA gene is located close to other genes, as is the case of fexA 14 15 (implicated in phenicol resistance) and a novel *erm*(A)-*like* gene. This *erm*(A)-*like* gene encodes an rRNA methylase, which shows 85.2% amino acid identity to the Erm(A) 16 17 protein of transposon Tn554 of S. aureus (237).

Most of the *cfr*-positive enterococci of food producing animals (>90%) showed a MIC 18 for linezolid of  $\geq 8 \,\mu \text{g/ml}$ , but two *E. faecalis* isolates presented a MIC of 4  $\mu \text{g/ml}$ . In 19 20 relation with *optrA*-positive isolates of food-producing animals and food origin, they 21 showed a linezolid MIC in the range 2->8  $\mu$ g/ml, presenting 19% of the isolates MICs in the range 2-4 µg/ml (categorized as susceptible according to EUCAST breakpoints and 22 susceptible-intermediate according to CLSI) (Table 4). It is interesting to remark that cfr-23 24 and *optrA*-positive enterococci could appear as linezolid-susceptible, probably leading to an underestimation of their real incidence. 25

Oxazolidinones are not used in food-producing animals. Nevertheless, the emergent detection in these animals of linezolid-resistant enterococci carrying the *optr*A gene in transferable plasmids, linked to resistance genes for antibiotics commonly used in animals (phenicols, tetracyclines, lincosamides and aminoglycosides), suggest its role in the coselection of multiresistant bacteria, which pose a risk for public health.

Summarizing, transferable linezolid resistance genes, mostly *optr*A, have been detected
in enterococci of food producing animals and food of animal origin in different countries
of Europe, America and Asia, but up to date not in Africa. These mechanisms of
resistance have not been detected so far, to our knowledge, in pets or in wild animals.

10 **3.4.-** Resistance to aminoglycosides

Enterococci are intrinsically resistant to clinically achievable concentrations of 11 aminoglycosides due to their low cell wall permeability. In addition, some species as E. 12 13 faecium [aac(6')-Ii], E. durans [aac(6')-Id] and E. hirae [aac(6')-Ih], intrinsically 14 express a chromosomal-encoded acetyltransferase that confers resistance to tobramycin, kanamycin and amikacin (249). The chromosomally encoded methyltransferase EfmM 15 16 has been exceptionally described in an E. faecium isolate (250) codifying resistance to 17 kanamycin and tobramycin. Acquired resistances to aminoglycosides are detected in strains from both animals and humans and usually concern to high-level of resistance to 18 gentamicin, kanamycin and streptomycin. 19

High-level resistance to gentamicin in enterococcal isolates from animal origin was first described in 1998 in Denmark (251) and in 2001 in United States (252). The acquired genetic mechanisms identified in animal isolates are identical to those described in human isolates. The most frequent ones are the bifunctional enzyme encoded by aac(6')-*Ie*aph(2'')-*Ia* (conferring resistance to gentamicin, kanamycin, amikacin, netilmicin and

1 tobramycin) and the aph(3)-IIIa (conferring resistance to kanamycin and amikacin) (23, 2 253). High-level gentamicin resistance can also be due to the expression of the unusual 3 aph(2")-Ic, aph(2")-Id, aph(2")-Ie and aph(2")-Ib genes (17, 23); the aph(2")-Ic seems to be more frequent in enterococci of animal origin and some farm animals could be a 4 reservoir of this gene (252). High-level resistance to streptomycin is commonly caused 5 by punctual ribosomal mutations, although acquisition of some modifying enzymes has 6 7 been also described [ant(3'')-Ia and ant(6')-Ia]. Table 5 shows a summary of papers (in 8 the period 2013-2017) in which the rates of antimicrobial resistance (high-level 9 gentamicin, and others as tetracycline, erythromycin or ciprofloxacin) is analyzed in 10 enterococcal isolates from animals (65, 15, 87, 90, 92, 135, 141, 143, 147, 153, 154, 198, 11 205, 209, 254-276).

12 **3.5.-** Resistance to Tetracycline

13 This family integrates several antibacterial active compounds (277), although tetracycline, chlortetracycline, oxytetracycline, and doxycycline are the most used in 14 15 veterinary. Despite the extensive review about the tetracyclines resistance mechanisms lead in 1996 by Roberts (278), a most recent update was published in 2005 (279). Almost 16 17 60 tetracycline resistance genes have been described, although the most frequent ones in *Enterococcus* are those implicated in ribosomal protection [*tet*(M), *tet*(O), *tet*(S)], efflux 18 19 or enzymatic inactivation [tet(K), tet(L)]. In Enterococcus, as occurs in other gram 20 positives microorganisms, the ribosomal protection protein mechanism encoded by the tet(M) gene is the most frequent, with independence of the origin of the strains. The 21 transferability of the tetracycline resistance determinants in absence of plasmids has been 22 23 described from the first studies (280), being the Tn916/Tn1545 conjugative transposon family carrying the *tet*(M) gene the responsible, usually in combination with the *erm*(B) 24 25 gene.

#### **1 3.6.-** Resistance to Macrolides/Lincosamines/Streptogramins

2 Numerous chemically diverse compounds are integrated into the macrolide family, with 3 erythromycin as the most representative. Resistance to this antibiotic was immediately reported after their introduction in human clinical use in 1952; moreover, enterococci are 4 intrinsically resistant to clindamycin and lincomycin. Tylosin, spiramycin and 5 6 virginamycin were widely used in pigs and other animals before the EU limited their used. After the ban, the erythromycin resistance in Enterococcus strains from animals 7 8 decreased spectacularly (281), demonstrating the link between the antibiotic consumption 9 and the increase of the resistance rates, even in different environments.

10 Chromosomal intrinsic resistance to macrolides by *msr*(A) and to lincosamides by *linB* 11 in *E. faecium* has been described (282, 283). Acquired resistance to macrolides can be 12 codified by various genetic determinants (up to 92 have been described) (284), although 13 the most common worldwide is *erm*(B), usually carried by Tn917 that is widespread in 14 human and animal isolates. Other relevant genes in the genus *Enterococcus* are the efflux 15 genes *mef*(A) conferring resistance to macrolides, *vgb*(A) to virginiamycin, *lnu*(B) to 16 lincosamide, *vat*(D) and *vat*(E) to streptogramins.

#### 17 **3.7.-** Resistance to Quinolones

Fluoroquinolones have a reduced antimicrobial activity against enterococci, with levofloxacin and moxifloxacin as the most active compounds. Acquired resistance is the consequence of mutations in the *gyrA* and *parC* genes (286, 287) or the acquisition of the *qnr* genes (287). Efflux pumps as EmeA for *E. faecalis* (288), and NorA-*like* for *E. faecium* (289) have been also described, although their frequency is low. Resistance to ciprofloxacin is a conserved feature among the high-risk *E. faecium* CC17 clone linked to nosocomial outbreaks (290), and almost all isolates with resistance to glycopeptides. Fluoroquinolones have never been used as growth promoters, although their use for
 veterinary therapy is common.

# 3 4.- MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY AND POPULATION STRUCTURE OF 4 ENTEROCOCCI IN FARM AND COMPANION ANIMALS

Epidemiological studies in farm and companion animals were originally driven by the
interest to establish a relationship between antibiotic resistant isolates from human and
non-human hosts. At present, the resistance phenotypes of clinical relevance that may be
linked to animals mainly comprise resistance to ampicillin, gentamicin, quinupristindalfopristin, vancomycin, and linezolid.

Molecular typing of enterococci strains has been performed by different methods that includes pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), multilocus sequence typing (MLST), coregenome MLST (cgMLST), Bayesian analysis of population structure (BAPS) and whole genome sequencing (revised in 291).

The emergence of VRE in European foodborne animals and food of animal origin in early 16 1990s (128, 291, 292-296), as well as in feces of healthy volunteers or food handlers 17 (297-299), encouraged surveillance studies in the community setting that led to suggest a 18 relationship between the extensive use of animal growth promoters in veterinary (e.g. 19 avoparcin and tilosin), the colonization pressure in animals, and the subsequent 12 transmission to human hosts throughout the food chain (300-301).

The first report of VRE in non-human hosts occurred in 1993 in the UK and documented the similarity between isolates of different origins (300). This study was followed by others, which confirmed the similarity of VRE strains from humans and farm animals exposed to avoparcin in different European countries (26, 292, 302-305). The potential

selection of antibiotic resistant enterococci by antibiotics led to the unilateral ban of 1 2 avoparcin as animal growth promoter in Sweden in 1986, Denmark and Switzerland in 3 1995, and two years later in the rest of the European countries (Commission Directive 97/6/EC). By 1999, other antibiotics (as bacitracin, virginiamycin and tylosin) were also 4 5 banned as growth promoters for healthy animals in Europe, and this was followed in 2006 for all antibiotics. In this way, Europe leaded the first intervention against VRE at global 6 7 level. In contrast with western countries, the use of antimicrobials in livestock and 8 poultry, as well as the standard policies on antimicrobial use, highly varies in each Asian 9 country (revised in 306). In Korea, avoparcin was used in the management of poultry and 10 swine from 1983 to 1997 but was banned thereafter to reduce the exposure of humans to 11 VRE (133). After several years of avoparcin discontinuance in Korea, the prevalence of VRE in Korean livestock was investigated, and some studies reported that the VRE 12 13 incidence rate in chicken samples was higher than that in pig samples (163, 307).

The ban led to a significant reduction of VRE colonization in animals, foods, and fecal samples of community-based persons of different countries. However, VRE was recovered in feces from animals and humans after years reflecting important effects of previous livestock practices in the population structure of enterococci in animals.

Most information came from the species *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis*, the predominant ones
in the gastrointestinal tract of mammals besides *E. hirae*, *E. durans* and *E. cecorum* (11, 45, 46).

21 4.1.- Enterococcus faecium

PFGE remained the "gold standard" for molecular typing of *E. faecium* until the recent
introduction of whole genome sequence (WGS)-based epidemiology (291, 308). By using
PFGE, clonal dissemination of *E. faecium* strains with clinically relevant phenotypes

(ampicillin, gentamicin, quinupristin-dalfopristin and vancomycin) has been extensively
 documented between animals of the same or different farms and has also been suggested
 between animals and humans (309, 310). The data varies greatly among geographic areas
 and are normally associated with the use of antibiotics.

Ecological differentiation of E. faecium has been documented in epidemiological studies 5 6 using AFLP, MLST and/or BAPS (311-314). AFLP analysis originally revealed different subpopulations (or ecotypes) corresponding to hospitalized patients, community-based 7 8 persons, and farm animals including veal calves, poultry and swine (311, 315). 9 Afterwards, MLST results using eBURST confirmed the split of E. faecium in host-10 specific subgroups, one from hospitalized patients [originally termed clonal complex 17 11 (CC17)], and others from domesticated animals (291, 316). More recently, BAPS analysis 12 allowed the partitioning of 519 STs of 1720 E. faecium isolates into 13 non-overlapping groups. Again, BAPS groups were significantly associated with isolates from hospitalized 13 14 patients (BAPS 3-3) and farm animals (BAPS 2-1 and 2-4) (313). More recently, single nucleotide polymorphism-based phylogenetic analysis of WGS data split E. faecium in 15 isolates causing infections (clade A1), isolates from healthy humans (clade B) and isolates 16 from healthy humans and animals (clade A2) (79). The clade A1 mostly comprises 17 18 isolates from hospitalized humans associated with lineages 17 (including ST16 and 19 ST17), 18 (ST18) and 78 (ST78 and ST192), although isolates from animals have been 20 extensively reported (313, 89, 304). The ST78 isolates show putative evolutionary hallmarks with respect to pets (dogs and cats) and poultry isolates and diversified mainly 21 22 through recombination and acquisition or loss of mobile genetic elements, which eventually led to adaptation to different ecological niches. Thus, ecological distinction is 23 24 not absolute, and the main zoonotic risk linked to E. faecium isolates is represented by transfer of mobile genetic elements harboring antimicrobial resistance genes. 25

Poultry. E. faecium isolates resistant to macrolides, quinusptistin-dalfoprisitin or other 1 2 streptogramins were extensively reported in poultry farms revealing high heterogeneity 3 of PFGE types and STs, although some similar patterns were eventually detected in farms in Europe, USA and Asia (317-319). Clonal dissemination of VRE of the E. faecium 4 species (VREfm) within poultry farms exposed to antibiotics before and after the ban of 5 avoparcin (109, 302) were documented in European and Asian countries, with STs 6 7 belonging to CC9 or CC96 as the predominant ones in Europe or Malaysia, respectively 8 (320). A dramatic increase of VREfm in Sweden from 2000 to 2009 was due to the clonal 9 expansion of the clone ST310, despite the absence of selection by antibiotics in this 10 country, where the use of antibiotics as animal growth promoters was forbidden since 11 1986 (129). A Danish study showed the high rate of VREfm in Danish farms after 15year ban of avoparcin, with different ST and the presence of a ST842 clone in 36 flocks 12 analyzed corresponding to eight farms broadly distributed in the country (85). Recently, 13 clonally unrelated E. faecium isolates resistant to linezolid emerged in farms from China 14 (236, 237). Common PFGE profiles or STs between humans and broilers have also been 15 documented (321-323), but the human health risk associated with the presence of E. 16 17 faecium in poultry meat is under debate (25).

18 Swine. VREfm has been extensively documented in pig farms from European countries 19 before and after the avoparcin ban (113, 324, 325). Clonal spread of VREfm was 20 documented in Denmark, Norway, Finland (113), Switzerland (326), Portugal (304), and Spain (327), with predominance of STs belonging to the CC5 lineage (ST5, ST6, ST185). 21 22 The persistence of VREfm in pig farms after the avoparcin ban was associated later with the use of tylosin, which facilitated the co-selection of strains resistant to both 23 24 glycopeptides and macrolides due to the presence of both vanA and erm(B) genes in the same plasmid (113). VREfm was also detected in county fairs in Michigan from 2008 to 25

2010, which represents the first and unique report of VREfm in livestock in the USA to 1 2 date (121, 122). In Asia, the occurrence varies with the countries and is sporadic in China 3 (156). In all these studies, CC5 strains were also predominantly identified. A particular ST6 (CC5) clone was identified in farms of different EU countries and the USA, as well 4 as in healthy volunteers and hospitalized patients, all carrying a Tn1546 in orf1 and a G-5 T point mutation in the position 8234 at vanX (304, 328). Besides tylosin, copper is 6 7 frequently added to pig and cattle feeds, so co-location of heavy metal resistance 8 determinants has been also demonstrated in Europe and the USA (329, 330). Copper 9 resistance is often associated with resistance to macrolides (erm(B)), tetracyclines 10 (tet(M)), and with glycopeptides (vanA). Although clonal dissemination has been reported 11 (330), a great diversity has been documented in farms (331). Major human clones (early classified as CC17), CC9 and CC22 have also been documented in some studies (85, 332, 12 333). 13

14 Companion animals. A few studies have analyzed the fecal carriage of ampicillin 15 resistant E. faecium (AREfm) and VREfm in companion animals. High rates of AREfm were observed among fecal samples of dogs collected in UK and Denmark in 2006 and 16 2008 (23% and 76%, respectively) (89, 334). Most of these isolates belonged to the major 17 18 human clonal lineage CC17, which apparently suggested a possible transmission between 19 hosts. Later, De Regt et al., demonstrated some unique metabolic features in these CC17 20 canine isolates that would facilitate niche adaptation (335). A recent large Dutch countrywide population-based study reported a higher prevalence of fecal carriers of 21 22 AREfm in dogs and cats than in healthy human population (25.6%, 5.1% and 1.5% respectively). This study concluded that isolates from pets were genetically distinct from 23 24 those of humans based on the lack of co-occurrence and the cgMLST results (336). Prior antibiotic use and eating raw meat were considering a risk factor for acquiring AREfm in 25

all the available studies (197, 336). Clinical isolates from dogs and cats treated with
amoxicillin belong to high clonal complex risks and were similar to those from humans
(197, 337).

4 **4.2.**- *E. faecalis* 

5 A plethora of molecular methods have been used to type this species including PFGE, 6 AFLP, and MLST. In contrast to what happen for E. faecium, E. faecalis isolated from 7 different sources/hosts cannot be grouped using MLST or AFLP. Different studies using 8 MLST data revealed the presence of many different sequence types in different hosts 9 including farm animals, companion animals and hospitalized patients (338, 339). 10 Moreover, some sequence types are associated with a higher prevalence of antibiotic 11 resistance, represented by ST2, ST8, ST9, ST16, ST40, and ST87 (303; 339, 340), all of 12 them being overrepresented in humans. To date, ST16 is recovered from humans and farm 13 animals, and is considered a zoonotic lineage (25), involved in the spread of resistance to all antibiotics used in animals including bacitracin, phenicols, oxazolidinones (341). 14 15 Clonal outbreaks of *E. faecalis* ST82, a common cause of amyloid arthropathy in poultry, have been reported in farms of Denmark, United States, France and Germany (342). 16

Although the detection of more prevalent *E. faecalis* STs in distant geographical locations
and different hosts suggest frequent horizontal gene transfer between different host
populations (69, 211, 241, 339, 340, 343), some studies using comparative genomics
discarded global transmission (344).

The incongruence in the topologies of the seven different MLST gene trees revealed this species was highly recombinogenic (291, 343). Subsequent analysis of the *E. faecalis* population structure based on MLST data using a Bayesian analysis of population structure (BAPS) also yield incongruent results, and confirmed the lack of host specific

groups or ecotypes (313, 314). This issue was also demonstrated by studies that
 characterized the phylogenetic diversity of *E. faecalis* using whole genomes
 (phylogenomics and cgMLST) of clinical, human commensal, and animal isolates, that
 observed the lack of distinct clustering of isolates according to the source (291, 345).

Further whole genome sequence studies are necessary to characterize and describe the
role of animals in the evolution, genetic diversity and population structure of *E. faecalis*.

## 7 5.- PLASMIDS IN ENTEROCOCCI FROM FOODBORNE AND COMPANION 8 ANIMALS

9 Horizontal gene transfer plays a relevant role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance in non-human hosts, and plasmids play a central role in this dissemination. Classically 10 11 plasmid categorization is based on the presence and diversity of replication (346), which were established by rep-initiator proteins (rep) scheme (347, 348) identified in Gram 12 13 positive species to date. In Figure 1 we show the plasmid content (percentage and 14 diversity of rep sequences) of the 67 E. faecium and 47 E. faecalis genomes with animal origin obtained from the WGS database of the NCBI. The enterococcal genomes from 15 public databases were classified according to their origin (Table 1 suppl), information 16 17 obtained from the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) database (349). The *rep* genes obtained by the PlasmidFinder bioinformatics tool (350) belong to plasmid 18 19 families with theta (RepaA\_N, Inc18, Rep3\_small tetha) or rolling-circle replication 20 (RCR) mechanisms (Figure 1).

Plasmids conferring resistance in enterococci to vancomycin, macrolides, tetracycline, aminoglycosides, and heavy metals (copper, cadmium, bacitracin zinc) have been detected in farms that were exposed to antimicrobials used as growth promoters (avoparcin, virginiamycin, tylosin, or bacitracin zinc), therapeutically (tetracyclines,

gentamicin, penicillins) or dietary supplements (e.g. copper). Antibiotic resistant plasmids have also been recovered from areas where selection was not apparent. Some emblematic examples are transferable *vanA* in commercial animal husbandry in Michigan farms, USA, where avoparcin has never been licensed for use in growth promotion (121, 122), or persistent *vanA*-Inc18 plasmids in Norwegian broiler flocks after the ban of some antibiotics. These studies suggest alternative routes of selection, introduction and spread of *vanA*-type vancomycin resistance, plasmid fitness and other phenomena (351).

## 8 **5.1.-** Plasmids conferring resistance to glycopeptides.

9 Tn1546 (vanA), the predominant mechanism of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci, 10 has been successfully disseminated among poultry and swine through plasmids of the 11 Inc18 and RepA\_N families, respectively (352, 353). In poultry, an 18-25kb fragment 12 that includes the 10.85kb of Tn1546 (vanA), is conserved in Inc18 plasmids detected in 13 Norwegian broiler flocks for more than one-decade (from 1999 to years after the avoparcin ban) and in the pIP186, the first Inc18 (vanA) plasmid described in 1986 in a 14 15 E. faecium clinical isolate (354, 355). The persistence of vanA plasmids in Norwegian poultry farms is attributed to the toxin–antitoxin system  $\omega$ – $\varepsilon$ – $\zeta$  originally described in 16 17 pRE25, a plasmid of E. faecalis carrying resistance to different antibiotic families and prevalent in animal and foods (127, 354). Analysis of the Tn1546 insertion sites and 18 19 plasmid backbones made to suggest spread of the vanA transposon across different clonal 20 lines in the broiler industry (125, 354-356). Bortolaia et al. recently associated the 21 persistence of glycopeptide resistance in Danish poultry flocks after 15-year of avoparcin 22 ban with a non-transferable 54kb plasmid in isolates that only confer resistance to 23 glycopeptides (27). It is of note that broiler flocks raised in Denmark come from parent birds imported from Sweden, and the high occurrence of VREfm was also observed in 24 25 Swedish broiler flocks until 2011 (129).

In swine, large plasmids belonging to the RepA\_N family (150-190kb, rep<sub>pLG1</sub>), which
carry a truncated variant of Tn*1546* and *tcrB* (coding for resistance to copper), have been
detected in a pandemic CC5 *E. faecium* clone circulating in swine farms of Spain,
Portugal, Denmark, Switzerland and the USA for decades, and in other *E. faecium*lineages of pigs and humans, what would suggest transmission (304). These plasmids use
to carry *erm*(B) gene (macrolide resistance) and, eventually, *trcB* (copper resistance) (see
below).

8 Also, sporadic reports have documented the occurrence of strains carrying other *vanB* or 9 vanN operons on plasmids in poultry meat (178, 188), game meat or wild game meat 10 (226). Finally, vancomycin susceptible *E. faecalis* strains carrying *vanC1* on transferable 11 elements (plasmids, transposons and integrons) have also been reported in cloacal swabs 12 of broilers (357), and feces of diseased pigs from different farms (358). Transmission of species-specific vanC1 and vanC2/C3 genes could be currently underestimated given the 13 14 high presence of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*, respectively, in foodborne animals (159, 359-360), and the scarcity of studies that screen vanC genes in other different 15 16 species.

# 17 5.2.- Plasmids conferring resistance to macrolides, streptogramins and 18 lincosamides.

They have been extensively recovered in enterococci from poultry and porcine farms where macrolides (spiramycin and tylosin) and streptogramins (virginiamycin) were used as growth promoters and pleuromutilins (tiamulin and valnemulin) to treat infections in these animals. Lincomycin, alone or in combination with spectinomycin, have been widely used to control respiratory and gastrointestinal bacterial pathogens in cattle, swine, poultry, dogs and cats, with pirlimycin only used to treat bovine mastitis cases. Clindamycin is a common therapeutic option for topical infections in dogs and cats.

Macrolides. The most widespread gene that confers resistance to macrolides in 1 2 enterococci is *erm*(B), which is located in different transposons and plasmids in species 3 of the Enterococcus, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus and Clostridium genera (346, 361). pRE25, a multidrug resistant plasmid originally recovered from a *E. faecalis* isolate of a 4 sausage sample, is the paradigm of the Inc18 family and has greatly contributed to spread 5 of erm(B) among animals and humans (346, 353, 362). The plasmid encodes resistance 6 7 to 12 antimicrobials of five structural classes (macrolides, lincosamides, streptothricin, 8 chloramphenicol, aminoglycosides) due to the presence of erm(B) (macrolide-9 lincosamide-streptogramin B), cat<sub>pIP501</sub> (chloramphenicol) and Tn5405 that comprises the 10 genes aadE-sat4-aphA3 (aminoglycoside-streptothricin) (363, 364). The genes carried by 11 pRE25 are present in different animal pathogens, namely, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus agalactiae, S. aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Campylobacter coli, Clostridium 12 perfringens, and Clostridium difficile. The erm(B) gene has also been found in small 13 plasmids in poultry samples (365), and in large plasmids of food besides other genes as 14 msr(C) and lnu(B), tet(L) and tet(W) (366). Location in chromosome is also frequent. 15 The gene erm(A) associated with Tn554, commonly found in staphylococci from swine, 16 has also been found in streptococci and sporadic isolates of E. faecalis and E. faecium 17 18 from pigs, suggesting transfer events (282, 367). More recently, a novel erm(A)-like gene 19 that confer high level of resistance to erythromycin (MIC>128 µg/ml) has been detected 20 in Inc18 plasmids with genes encoding resistance to phenicols and oxazolidinones (see

below). This gene differs of the widespread *erm*(A) gene on Tn554 and the *erm*(A) gene
formerly called *ermTR*, predominant in staphylococci and streptococci, respectively (8285% homology at amino acid level). This *erm*(A) enterococcal variant has a 116 bp
deletion in the translational attenuator (237).

Streptogramins. Genes conferring resistance to streptogramins (acetyltransferases 1 2 encoded by *satG/vatE* and *satA/vatA* genes and ABC transporters by *vgb/vgbB*), and 3 macrolides (23rRNA methylases encoded by erm(B), erm(A), erm(C) genes), are observed in a diversity of plasmids and clonal backgrounds. In addition, vat genes are 4 often co-transcribed and co-transferred along with vga, vgaB, vgb, vgbB, or erm(B) genes 5 through transposable elements, some of them, previously observed in staphylococci (364, 6 7 368-373). Transferability of *vat* genes and streptogramin resistance in *E. faecium* strains 8 through contaminated pork and chicken meat, raw manure, and surface/ground water has 9 extensively been documented (374, 375).

10 Lincosamides. Resistance to this antibiotic family can be due to the presence of genes 11 coding for ABC transporters or modifying enzymes, most of them located on plasmids 12 and/or transposable elements. These elements have been extensively documented in 13 staphylococci, and to a lesser extent in streptococci, *Clostridium* and other species of 14 Gram-positive bacteria in animals.

15 ABC transporters that confer resistance to pleuromutilins, lincosamides, and streptogramin A antibiotics (PLS<sub>A</sub>) include the genes vga and vga(A)v, vga(C), vga(E), 16 vga(E)v, eat(A)v, sal(A), lsa(A), lsa(C), and lsa(E). They frequently appear within 17 clusters in plasmids or transferable chromosomal regions previously reported in S. aureus 18 (230). A 8,705 bp region flanked by ISEfa8 and IS1216, and comprising genes coding for 19 20 one or more antibiotics, namely *lnu*(B) (lincosamide), *lsa*(E) (PLS<sub>A</sub>), *spw* 21 (spectinomycin), aadE (streptomycin), and *erm*(B) (macrolide-lincosamidestreptogramin B), is common for plasmids of S. aureus (pV7037) and E. faecium (pY13, 22 23 pXD4, pXD5) strains recovered from pigs (230, 376, 377). The pY13 plasmid further contains a copy of the genes *lnu*(B) (lincosamide), *aphA3* (kanamycin/neomycin) and a 24

second copy of *erm*(B), highlighting the redundancy of determinants in settings under
 high selective pressure.

3 Two genes coding for nucleotidyl transferases (lnu), which only confer resistance to lincosamides, have been described in Enterococcus from swine recovered in Chinese 4 farms (229, 378). The *lnu*(G) is part of a 4738 bp functionally active transposon 5 6 designated as Tn6260, firstly detected in an *E. faecalis* isolate of swine orgin; this element 7 is similar to others of the Tn554 family that includes different antibiotic resistant genes 8 (378). The *lnu*(B) has been detected in porcine *E. faecium* isolates, and it has been found 9 in a non-conjugative plasmid linked to erm(B), lsa(E), spw, aadE, and aphA3 genes, 10 which account for resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, streptogramins, pleuromutilins, 11 streptomycin, spectinomycin, and kanamycin/neomycin (229).

## 12 5.3.- Plasmids conferring resistance to phenicols and oxazolidinones

Genes coding for resistance to non-fluorinated phenicols (*cat*), non-fluorinated and
fluorinated phenicols (*fexA*, *fexB*), and to both phenicols and oxazolidinones (*cfr*, *optrA*),
have been detected in enterococcal species from animals, foods and humans.

The production of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (or CAT) enzymes seems to be the 16 17 main mechanism of resistance to chloramphenicol although the number of studies addressing the diversity and the genetic context of *cat* genes in *Enterococcus* is still 18 19 scarce. The predominant cat variants are cat(A-7), associated with pRE25-like plasmids of the Inc18 family which are widely disseminated in food and farm animals, 20 predominantly poultry (241); and *cat*(A-8), also known as *cat*<sub>DC223</sub>, associated with pC223 21 22 plasmids originally detected in S. aureus that are now predominant in E. faecalis from swine. This gene eventually appears in tandem with tet(M) and tet(L) genes within the 23 transposon Tn6245 and relics of this transposon have been observed in plasmids that also 24

carry *fexA* and *oprtA* (237). Although isolates positive for the *cat*(A-9) gene have been
 recently identified in *E. faecalis* from swine, their genetic context has not been
 characterized (Ana Freitas, personal communication).

The florfenicol exporter gene *fexB* was initially detected in non-conjugative plasmids of 4 E. faecium, E. faecalis and E. hirae isolates collected in swine farms heavily exposed to 5 6 florfenicol in China (379). These plasmids share common regions with the backbone of 7 Inc18 plasmid derivatives (e.g. pVEF4), widely disseminated in Norwegian poultry farms 8 (355). The *fexB* gene is bracketed by IS1216, and would have been acquired by 9 widespread pRE25-like plasmids, as occurred for other antimicrobial resistant genes 10 flanked by this IS. The *fexB* gene has also been identified in enterococci from other farm 11 animals (bovine) and aquacultures, although the plasmids are not still characterized (380, 12 241). A different epidemiological landscape occurs for the *fexA* gene, which is located on plasmids (241) and chromosome (236) of enterococcal animal isolates, often in tandem 13 14 with the optrA gene (237, 241) or the cfr gene (235). The fexA gene is inserted in the emblematic Tn554 of staphylococci, although in enterococci traces of this transposon 15 might be absent as a consequence of different events of horizontal gene transfer (237). 16

Enterococcal plasmids carrying *optrA* have been detected in poultry, swine and humans. Despite differences in size (30-80 kb) and the backbone, all share similar regions upstream and downstream the *optrA* gene (236, 237, 241). It is of note the presence of a novel *erm*(A)-like gene that confer high level of resistance to erythromycin (237). The genetic context of *optrA* is flanked by copies of IS*1216* in the same or opposite direction, which determine the mobility.

Conjugative and non-conjugative plasmids carrying the *cfr* gene flanked by different IS
(IS*1216*, IS*Enfa4*, IS*Enfa5*, IS*256*), have been described in animal isolates of different
Gram-positive species, including enterococci. The non-conjugative pEF-01 (32.2 kb)

1 plasmid represents the first description of a *cfr*-plasmid in this bacterial genus and was 2 identified in a fecal E. faecalis isolate of bovine origin collected in 2009 in a Chinese farm (232). This plasmid has three Rep proteins of the Inc18 and Rep3 plasmid families, 3 and 9kb and 6kb regions which exhibit high similarity with the backbone of vanA Inc18 4 plasmids (pVEF1-2-3), widely isolated in poultry farms (232). Moreover, the cfr gene 5 was flanked by IS1216 that would facilitate recombination processes, and plasmid also 6 7 contains the *fexA* gene, that provides resistance to phenicols. Conjugative plasmids 8 carrying the cfr bracketed by ISEnfa4 copies, were isolated from E. thailandicus and E. 9 faecalis from swine Chinese farms. These are closely related to other emblematic Inc18 10 plasmid, the pAMb1, and contained erm(B) and erm(A) genes, conferring the MLS<sub>B</sub> 11 phenotype, and also the  $\omega$ - $\varepsilon$ - $\zeta$  toxin-antitoxin module, which may promote the persistence 12 of plasmids by encoding a system that kills or prevents the growth of plasmid-free cell (55). This genetic context has also been detected in streptococci and staphylococci and 13 pointed out of independent acquisition events for cfr gene. The cfr gene bracketed by two 14 copies of ISEnfa5, has been documented in E. gallinarum and E. casseliflavus of swine 15 orgin (235). 16

## 17 5.4.- Plasmids conferring resistance to bacitracin.

Bacitracin has been used as an animal growth promoter in China, and recent reports 18 documented E. faecalis isolates with high-level resistance to this antibiotic 19 20 (MIC  $\geq$  256 µg/ml), due to the presence of the *bcrABDR* cluster, composed by the *bcrABD* 21 operon and its regulatory gene *bcrR*. The cluster either bracketed by two, one (or none) 22 ISEnfal copies is located on transferable plasmids (341) or chromosome. The structure 23 ISEnfa1-bcrABDR-ISEnfa1 may be circulating and been transferred to other species by IS-mediated recombination. A multiresistant 79 kb pheromone responsive plasmid 24 carrying this ISEnfal-bcrABDR-ISEnfal platform as well as optrA, fexA, Tn6425 25

(*cat*<sub>pC223</sub>-*tetM-tetL*), Tn5405 (*aph-sat-str*) and genes for resistance to copper and
 cadmium seems to be disseminated in Chinese farms (341), frequently associated with
 ST16 *E. faecalis*. This *bcrABDR* cluster is also common in *E. cecorum*, a chicken
 commensal species (341).

5 5.5.- Plasmids conferring resistance to copper.

6 Transferable resistance to copper (tcrB) in enterococci has been detected in piglets, calves, poultry, and also in humans of European, Asian, Australian and American 7 8 countries (148, 331, 368, 381-383). Plasmids carrying *tcrB* are identified in intensively 9 copper-supplemented livestock species, but plasmids with additional linkage with erythromycin (erm(B)) and/or vancomycin resistance (vanA) genes has only been 10 11 observed in heavily copper-exposed swine (often with different copper compounds) of European countries where avoparcin was used as growth enhancer in the 1990s (148, 329, 12 13 381, 383, 384). The plasmids were detected in different enterococcal species (*E. faecium*, E. faecalis, E. gallinarum, E. casseliflavus, E. mundtii, E. hirae), and conjugation has 14 15 been experimentally demonstrated from E. faecalis to E. faecium (381). Copper fed to 16 feedlot cattle at a growth promotion concentration (10× basal requirement) was associated 17 with increased frequencies of *tcrB*-positive, macrolide-resistant-*erm*(B) and eventually, tetracycline resistant-tet(M) enterococci; on the other hand, copper susceptibilities was 18 19 not increased in piglets in which the effect of in-feed tylosin or chlortetracycline was 20 evaluated (382, 385). Co-transmission of *tcrB* and *erm*(B) genes between *E*. *hirae* from a sediment-derived livestock and E. faecalis has been experimentally demonstrated 21 22 (386). A recent analysis of whole genome sequences of E. faecalis from copper-23 supplemented Danish pigs also documented the presence of a chromosomal cluster of 24 genes involved in susceptibility to copper, including the *tcrYAZB* operon, in three of 25 six isolates analyzed, all containing plasmids (387). A detailed characterization of this

chromosomal region was not provided, although other authors, who also identified
 redundancy of copper genes in chromosome, demonstrated its co-transferability with
 ampicillin resistance (331).

## 4 6.- CONCLUDING REMARKS

5 This review summarizes the current knowledge concerning the epidemiology and popu-6 lation structure of antibiotic resistant *Enterococcus* species from foodborne-, wild and 7 companion animals. Members of this genus are normal components of the intestinal mi-8 crobiota of animals and some species may also be aetiological agents of a wide variety of 9 infections as *E. faecalis* ST16 (considered a zoonotic pathogen), or ST82 (etiological 10 agent of the amyloid encephalopathy in chickens).

Enterococcus are frequent contaminants on foods (especially poultry meat), although the 11 12 risk of transmission from animals to humans through the food chain is based on indirect 13 evidence and thus, the bacterial load necessary to colonize human gut remains greatly 14 unknown. Food and animal trade seem have contributed to the spread of certain pathogenic lineages (E. faecalis ST82 and ST16 lineages) or multidrug resistant strains. Other 15 16 species adapted to animals seem to act as important reservoirs of adaptive traits (E. ceco-17 rum). However, transmission of antimicrobial resistance by horizontal gene transfer events represent the main risk of contaminated foods by enterococci. Genes encoding 18 resistance to vancomycin, macrolides, phenicols, and linezolid have been extensively 19 20 documented in animals, frequently in response to heavy selection by antimicrobials (an-21 tibiotics and heavy metals) used in prophylaxis or as growth promoters. Although the 22 same genes and plasmids may be present also in humans and animals, particular plasmid 23 variants are often documented in farms, suggesting certain host specificity and transmis-24 sion at local level. Deep analysis of antimicrobial resistant genes reveals a wide diversity of alleles (e.g. erm(A), optrA, cfr), and also the frequent presence of IS (e.g.IS1216) that 25

1 highlight the risk of frequent and independent acquisition and selection events of antimi-

2 crobial resistance in farms. More studies are necessary to establish the risks of the emer-

3 gence and transmission of antibiotic resistant enterococci from animals to humans.

4

## 5 **7.- REFERENCES**

Boehm AB, Sassoubre LM. 2014. Enterococci as indicators of environmental fecal
 contamination. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed), *Enterococci: from commensals to leading causes of drug resistant infection*. Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston, Massachusetts.

 Tyson GH, Nyirabahizi E, Crarey E, Kabera C, Lam C, Rice-Trujillo C, McDermott PF, Tate H. 2017. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Enterococci isolated from retail meats in the United States, 2002-2014. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 84: e01902-17.

3. Arias CA, Murray BE. 2012. The rise of the *Enterococcus:* beyond vancomycin resistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 10: 66-78.

4. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). 2011. Annual Epi demiological Report on communicable diseases in Europe. *Euro Surveill* 16 pii:
 20012.

 Iaria C, Stassi G, Costa GB, Di Leo R, Toscano A, Cascio A. 2005. Enterococcal meningitis caused by *Enterococcus casseliflavus*. First case report. *BMC Infect Dis* 5:3.

Canalejo E, Ballesteros R, Cabezudo J, García-Arata MI, Moreno J. 2008. Bacteraemic spondylodiscitis caused by *Enterococcus hirae*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 27:613-615.

7. Mastroianni A. 2009. *Enterococcus raffinosus* endocarditis. First case and literature
 review. *Infez Med* 17:14-20.

 Antonello VS, Zenkner Fde M, França J, Santos BR. 2010. Enterococcus gallinarum meningitis in an immunocompetent host: a case report. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 52:111-112.

1	9. Escribano JA, Solivera J, Vidal E, Rivin E, Lozano J. 2013. Otogenic cerebellar
2	abscess by Enterococcus avium, a very rare infectious agent. J Neurol Surg A Cent
3	<i>Eur Neurosurg.</i> <b>74</b> :e155-e158.
4	10. Kenzaka T, Takamura N, Kumabe A, Takeda K. 2013. A case of subacute
5	infective endocarditis and blood access infection caused by Enterococcus durans.
6	BMC Infect Dis 13:594.
7	11. Lebreton F, Willems RJL, Gilmore MS. 2014. Enterococcus diversity, origins
8	in nature, and gut colonization, In Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed),
9	Enterococci: from commensals to leading causes of drug resistant infection. Eye and
10	Ear Infirmary, Boston, Massachusetts.
11	12. Aarestrup FM, Butaye P, Witte W. 2002. Nonhuman reservoirs of enterococci,
12	p 1281-1289. In Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Courvalin P, Antonie van Leeuwenhoek
13	(ed) The enterococci: pathogenesis molecular biology and antibiotics resistance 1-4.
14	ASM Press, Washington, DC.
15	13. Aarestrup FM. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria of animal origin. ASM
16	Press, Washington, D.C.
17	14. Stalker MJ, Brash ML, Weisz A, Ouckama RM, Slavic D. 2010. Arthritis and
18	osteomyelitis associated with Enterococcus cecorum infection in broiler and broiler
19	breeder chickens in Ontario, Canada. J Vet Diagn Invest 22:643-645.
20	15. Dolka B, Chrobak-Chmiel D, Makrai L, Szeleszczuk P. 2016. Phenotypic and
21	genotypic characterization of Enterococcus cecorum strains associated with infections
22	in poultry. BMC Vet Res 12:129.
23	16. Kak V and Chow JM. 2002. Acquired antibiotic resistances in Enterococci, p
24	355-383. In Gilmore MS, Clewell B, Courvalin P, Dunny GM, Murray BM, Rice LB
25	(ed), The enterococci. Pathogenesis, Molecular Biology and Antibiotic resistance.
26	ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
27	17. Hollenbeck BL, Rice LB. 2012. Intrinsic and acquired resistance mechanisms in
28	Enterococcus. Virulence 3:421-433.
29	18. Miller WR, Munita JM, Arias CA. 2014. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance
30	in enterococci. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 12:1221-1236.
31	19. Courvalin P. 2006. Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci. <i>Clin Infect</i>
32	<i>Dis</i> <b>42</b> :S25-S34.
33	20. Nilsson O. 2012. Vancomycin resistant enterococci in farm animals-Ocurrence
34	and importance. Infect Ecol Epidemiol 2: 16959.

1	21. Cattoir V, Leclercq R. 2013. Twenty-five years of shared life with vancomycin-
2	resistant enterococci: is time to divorce? J Antimicrob Chemother 68:731-742.
3	22. Ahmed MO, Baptiste KE. 2017. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a review of
4	antimicrobial resistant mechanisms and perspectives of human and animal health. Mi-
5	crob Drug Resist Oct 23. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2017.0147.
6	23. Chow JW. 2000. Aminoglycoside resistance in enterococci. Clin Infect Dis
7	<b>3</b> :586-589.
8	24. Hammerum AM, Lester CH, Heuer OE. 2010. Antimicrobial-resistant enter-
9	ococci in animals and meat: a human health hazard?. Foodborne Pathog Dis 7:1137-
10	1146.
11	25. Bortolaia V, Espinosa-Gongora C, Guardabassi L. 2016. Human health risks
12	associated with antimicrobial-resistant enterococci and Staphylococcus aureus on
13	poultry meat. Clin Microbiol Infect 22:130-140.
14	26. Hammerum AM. 2012. Enterococci of animal origin and their significance for
15	public health. Clin Microbiol Infect 18:619-25.
16	27. Bortolaia V, Guardabassi L. 2015. Zoonotic transmission of antimicrobial re-
17	sistant Enterococci: a threat to public health or an overemphasized risk? p 407-431. In
18	Sing A (ed), Zoonoses-Infections Affecting Humans and Animals. Focus on Public
19	Health Aspects. Springer, New York.
20	28. Stiles ME, Holzapfel WH. 1997. Lactic acid bacteria of foods and their current
21	taxonomy. Int J Food Microbiol 36:1-29.
22	29. Lund B, Adamsson I, Edlund C. 2002. Gastrointestinal transit survival of an
23	Enterococcus faecium probiotic strain administered with or without vancomycin. Int J
24	Food Microbiol 77:109-115.
25	30. Foulquié-Moreno MR, Sarantinopoulos P, Tsakalidou E, De Vuyst L. 2006.
26	The role and application of enterococci in food and health. Int J Food Microbiol 106:1-
27	24.
28	31. Zhong Z, Zhang W, Song Y, Liu W, Xu H, Xi X, Menghe B, Zhang H, Sun Z.
29	2017. Comparative genomic analysis of the genus Enterococcus. Microbiol Res
30	<b>196</b> :95-105.
31	32. Schleifer KH, Kilpper-Balz R. 1984. Transfer of <i>Streptococcus faecalis</i> and
32	Streptococcus faecium to the genus Enterococcus nom. rev. as Enterococcus faecalis
33	comb. nov. and Enterococcus faecium comb. nov. Int J Syst Bacteriol 34:31-34.

2 Nucleic Acids Res 42:D613-D616. 34. De Graef EM, Devriese LA, Vancanneyt M, Baele M, Collins MD, Lefebvre 3 K, Swings J, Haesebrouck F. 2003. Description of Enterococcus canis sp. nov. from 4 dogs and reclassification of Enterococcus porcinus Teixeira et al. 2001 as a junior 5 synonym of Enterococcus villorum Vancanneyt et al 2001. Int J of Syst Evol Microbiol 6 7 **53**:1069-1074. 8 35. Law-Brown J., Meyers P. R. 2003. Enterococcus phoeniculicola sp. nov. a novel member of the enterococci isolated from the uropygial gland of the Red-billed Wood-9 hoopoe, Phoeniculus purpureus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 53:683-685. 10 36. Fortina MG, Ricci G, Mora D, Manachini PL. 2004. Molecular analysis of ar-11 tisanal Italian cheeses reveals Enterococcus italicus sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 12 13 **54**:1717-1721. Švec P, Vancanneyt M, Koort J, Naser SM, Hoste B, Vihavainen E, 37. 14 Vandamme P, Swings J, Björkroth J. 2005. Enterococcus devriesei sp. nov. associ-15 ated with animal sources. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55:2479-2484. 16 38. Tanasupawat S, Sukontasing S, Lee JS. 2008. Enterococcus thailandicus sp. 17 nov. isolated from fermented sausage ('mum') in Thailand. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 18 **58**:1630-1634. 19 39. Švec P, Vandamme P, Bryndová H, Holochova P, Kosina M, Maslanová I, 20 Sedlácek I. 2011. Enterococcus plantarum sp. nov. isolated from plants. Int J Syst 21 Evol Microbiol 62:1499-1505. 22 Niemi RM, Ollinkangas T, Paulin L, Švec P, Vandamme P, Karkman A, 23 40. Kosina M, Lidström K. 2012. Enterococcus rivorum sp. nov. from water of pristine 24 25 brooks. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:2169-2173. 41. Sistek V, Maheux AF, Boissinot M, Bernard KA, Cantin P, Cleenwerck I, De 26 27 Vos P, Bergeron MG. 2012. Enterococcus ureasiticus sp. nov. and Enterococcus quebecensis sp. nov. isolated from water. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:1314-1320. 28 42. Sedláček I, Holochová P, Mašlaňová I, Kosina M, Spröer C, Bryndová H, 29 Vandamme P, Rudolf I, Hubálek Z, Svec P. 2013. Enterococcus ureilyticus sp. nov. 30 and Enterococcus rotai sp. nov. two novel urease producing enterococci from the en-31 32 vironment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 63:502-510. 43. **Mundt JO.** 1963. Occurrence of enterococci in animals in a wild environment. J 33 34 Appl Microbiol 11:136-140.

Parte AC. 2014. LPSN-list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature.

1

33.

1	44.	Kühn I, Iversen A, Burman LG, Olsson-Liljequist B, Franklin A, Finn M,
2	Aa	restrup F, Seyfarth AM, Blanch AR, Vilanova X, Taylor H, Caplin J, Moreno
3	M	A, Dominguez L, Herrero IA, Möllby R. 2003. Comparison of enterococcal pop-
4	ula	tions in animals, humans, and the environmenta European study. Int J Food Mi-
5	cra	<i>bbiol</i> <b>88</b> :133-145.
6	45.	Devriese LA, Ceyssens K, Haesebrouck F. 1991a. Characteristics of Enterococ-
7	cus	s cecorum strains from the intestines of different animal species. Lett Appl Microbiol
8	12	:137-139.
9	46.	Devriese LA, Laurier L, De Herdt P, Haesebrouck F. 1992a. Enterococcal and
10	str	eptococcal species isolated from faeces of calves, young cattle and dairy cows. $J$
11	Ap	pl Bacteriol <b>72</b> :29-31.
12	47.	Scupham AJ, Patton TG, Bent E, Bayles DO. 2008. Comparison of the cecal
13	mi	crobiota of domestic and wild turkeys. Microb Ecol 56:322-331.
14	48.	Gong J, Forster RJ, Yu H, Chambers JR, Wheatcroft R, Sabour PM, Chen
15	S.	2002. Molecular analysis of bacterial populations in the ileum of broiler chickens
16	and	d comparison with bacteria in the cecum. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 41:171-179.
17	49.	Devriese LA, Hommez J, Wijfels R, Haesebrouck F. 1991b. Composition of
18	the	e enterococcal and streptococcal intestinal flora of poultry. J Appl Bacteriol 71:46-
19	50.	
20	50.	Kojima A, Morioka A, Kijima M, Ishihara K, Asai T, Fujisawa T, Tamura
21	Y,	Takahashi T. 2010. Classification and antimicrobial susceptibilities of Enterococ-
22	cus	s species isolated from apparently healthy food-producing animals in Japan. Zoon-
23	ose	es Public Health <b>57</b> :137-141.
24	51.	Iweriebor BC, Obi LC, Okoh AI. 2016. Macrolide, glycopeptide resistance and
25	vir	ulence genes in Enterococcus species isolates from dairy cattle. J Med Microbiol
26	65	:641-648.
27	52.	Beukers AG, Zaheer R, Cook SR, Stanford K, Chaves AV, Ward MP, McAl-
28	list	ter TA. 2015. Effect of in-feed administration and withdrawal of tylosin phosphate
29	on	antibiotic resistance in enterococci isolated from feedlot steers. Front Microbiol
30	<b>6</b> :4	483.
31	53.	Hwang IY, Ku HO, Lim SK, Park CK, Jung GS, Jung SC, Nam HM. 2009.
32	Sp	ecies distribution and resistance patterns to growth-promoting antimicrobials of en-
33	ter	ococci isolated from pigs and chickens in Korea. J Vet Diagn Invest 21:858-862.

54. Vancanneyt M, Snauwaert C, Cleenwerck I, Baele M, Descheemaeker P, 1 Goossens H, Pot B, Vandamme P, Swings J, Haesebrouck F, Devriese LA. 2001. 2 Enterococcus villorum sp. nov., an enteroadherent bacterium associated with diarrhea 3 4 in piglets. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 51:393-400. Liu Y, Wang Y, Schwarz S, Li Y, Shen Z, Zhang Q, Wu C, Shen J. 2013. 5 55. Transferable multiresistance plasmids carrying cfr in Enterococcus spp. from swine 6 7 and farm environment. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:42-48. 8 56. Devriese LA, Cruz Colque JI, De Herdt P, Haesebrouck F. 1992. Identification and composition of the tonsillar and anal enterococcal and streptococcal flora of dogs 9 and cats. J Appl Bacteriol 73:421-425. 10 57. Rodrigues J, Poeta P, Martins A, Costa D. 2002. The importance of pets as 11 reservoirs of resistant *Enterococcus* strains, with special reference to vancomycin. J 12 Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 49:278-280. 13 58. Jackson CR, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Davis JA, Barrett JB, Frye JG. 2009. Preva-14 lence, species distribution and antimicrobial resistance of enterococci isolated from 15 dogs and cats in the United States. J Appl Microbiol 107:1269-1278. 16 59. Ben Said L, Dziri R, Sassi N, Lozano C, Ben Slama K, Ouzari I, Torres C, 17 Klibi N. 2017. Species distribution, antibiotic resistance and virulence traits in canine 18 and feline enterococci in Tunisia. Acta Vet Hung 65:173-184. 19 60. Naser SM, Vancanneyt M, De Graed E, Devriese LA, Snauwaert C, Lefebrve 20 21 K, Hoste B, Svec P, Decostere A, Haesebrouck F, Swings J. 2005. Enterococcus canintestini sp. nov., from faecal samples of healthy dogs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 22 23 **55**:2177-2182. Baele M, Devriese LA, Butaye P, Haesebrouck F. 2002. Composition of enter-24 61. ococcal and streptococcal flora from pigeon intestines. J Appl Microbiol 92:348-351. 25 62. Splichalova P, Svec P, Ghosh A, Zurek L, Oravcova V, Radimersky T, Bohus 26 27 M, Literak I. 2015. Prevalence, diversity and characterization of enterococci from three coraciiform birds. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 107:1281-1289. 28 Radhouani H, Poeta P, Gonçalves A, Pacheco R, Sargo R, Igrejas G. 2012. 29 63. Wild birds as biological indicators of environmental pollution: antimicrobial resistance 30 patterns of Escherichia coli and enterococci isolated from common buzzards (Buteo 31 buteo). J Med Microbiol 61:837-843. 32 Silva N, Igrejas G, Rodrigues T, Goncalves A, Felgar AC, Pacheco R., 64. 33 34 Gonçalves D, Cunha R, Poeta P. 2011. Molecular characterization of vancomycin-

1	resistant enterococci and extended-spectrum β-lactamase-containing Escherichia coli		
2	isolates in wild birds from the Azores Archipelago. Avian Pathol 40:473-479.		
3	65. Marinho C, Silva N, Pombo S, Santos T, Monteiro R, Gonçalves A, Micael	J,	
4	Rodrigues P, Costa AC, Igrejas G, Poeta P. 2013. Echinoderms from Azores is-		
5	lands: an unexpected source of antibiotic resistant Enterococcus spp. and Escherichia		
6	coli isolates. Mar Pollut Bull 69:122-127.		
7	66. Medeiros AW, Blaese Amorim D, Tavares M, de Moura TM, Franco A	С,	
8	d'Azevedo PA, Frazzon J, Frazzon AP. 2017. Enterococcus species diversity in	fe-	
9	cal samples of wild marine species as determined by real-time PCR. Can J Microb	iol	
10	<b>63</b> :129-136.		
11	67. Farnleitner AH, Ryzinska-Paier G, Reischer GH, Burtscher MM, Knetsch	S,	
12	Kirschner AK, Dirnböck T, Kuschnig G, Mach RL, Sommer R. 2010. Escherich	iia	
13	coli and enterococci are sensitive and reliable indicators for human, livestock and wi	ld-	
14	life faecal pollution in alpine mountainous water resources. J Appl Microb	iol	
15	<b>109</b> :1599-608.		
16	68. Radhouani H, Igrejas G, Carvalho C, Pinto L, Gonçalves A, Lopez M, Sar	go	
17	R, Cardoso L, Martinho A, Rego V, Rodrigues R, Torres C, Poeta P. 2011. Clor	nal	
18	lineages, antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in vancomycin-resistant entero-		
19	cocci isolated from fecal samples of red foxes (Vulpes vulpes). J Wildl Dis 47:76	57-	
20	773.		
21	69. Lozano C, González-Barrio D, García JT, Ceballos S, Olea PP, Ruiz-Fons	F,	
22	Torres C. 2015. Detection of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis ST6-van	B2	
23	and E. faecium ST915-vanA in faecal samples of wild Rattus rattus in Spain. Vet M	1i-	
24	<i>crobiol</i> <b>177</b> :168-174.		
25	70. Fontana R, Aldegheri M, Ligozzi M, Lopez H, Sucari A, Satta G. 1994. Ov	er-	
26	production of a low-affinity penicillin-binding protein and high-level ampicillin	re-	
27	sistance in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 38:1980-1983.		
28	71. Ligozzi M, Pittaluga F, Fontana R. 1996. Modification of penicillin-bindi	ng	
29	protein 5 associated with high-level ampicillin resistance in Enterococcus faecia	m.	
30	Antimicrob Agents Chemother <b>40</b> :354-357.		
31	72. Montealegre MC, Roh JH, Rae M, Davlieva MG, Singh KV, Shamoo Y, Mu	ır-	
32	ray BE. 2017. Differential penicillin-binding protein 5 (PBP5) levels in the Enter	r0-	
33	coccus faecium clades with different levels of ampicillin resistance. Antimicrob Age	nts	
34	<i>Chemother</i> <b>61</b> : e02034-16.		

73. Jureen R, Top J, Mohn SC, Harthug S, Langeland N, Willems RJ. 2003. Mo-1 2 lecular characterization of ampicillin-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates from hospitalized patients in Norway. J Clin Microbiol 41:2330-2336. 3 74. Poeta P, Costa D, Igrejas G, Sáenz Y, Zarazaga M, Rodrigues J, Torres C. 4 2007. Polymorphisms of the *pbp5* gene and correlation with ampicillin resistance in 5 6 Enterococcus faecium isolates of animal origin. J Med Microbiol 56:236-240. 7 75. Klibi N, Sáenz Y, Zarazaga M, Ben Slama K, Masmoudi A, Ruiz-Larrea F, 8 Boudabous A, Torres C. 2008. Polymorphism in pbp5 gene detected in clinical En-9 terococcus faecium strains with different ampicillin MICs from a Tunisian hospital. J Chemother 20:436-440. 10 76. Kristich CJ, Louis B. Rice LB, and Cesar A. Arias CA. 2014. Enterococcal 11 infection treatment and antibiotic resistance, In Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shan-12 kar N (ed), Enterococci: from commensals to leading causes of drug resistant infec-13 tion. Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston: Massachusetts. 14 15 77. Galloway-Peña JR, Rice LB, Murray BE. 2011. Analysis of PBP5 of early U.S. isolates of Enterococcus faecium: sequence variation alone does not explain increasing 16 ampicillin resistance over time. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:3272-3277. 17 78. Pietta E, Montealegre MC, Roh JH, Cocconcelli PS, Murray BE. 2014. En-18 terococcus faecium PBP5-S/R, the missing link between PBP5-S and PBP5-R. Anti-19 microb Agents Chemother 58:6978-6981. 20 79. Lebreton F, van Schaik W, McGuire AM, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Mazumdar 21 V, Corander J, Cheng L, Saif S, Young S, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Birren B, Willems 22 23 RJ, Earl AM, Gilmore MS. 2013. Emergence of epidemic multidrug-resistant Enterococcus faecium from animal and commensal strains. MBio 4: e00534-13. 24 80. 25 **Ono S, Muratani T, Matsumoto T.** 2005. Mechanisms of resistance to imipenem and ampicillin in Enterococcus faecalis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:2954-2958. 26 81. Murray BE, Lopardo HA, Rubeglio EA, Frosolono M, Singh KV. 1992. In-27 28 trahospital spread of a single gentamicin-resistant, beta-lactamase-producing strain of Enterococcus faecalis in Argentina. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 36:230-232. 29 82. Coudron PE, Markowitz SM, Wong ES. 1992. Isolation of a beta-lactamase-30 producing, aminoglycoside-resistant strain of Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob 31 32 Agents Chemother 36:1125-1126.

Sarti M, Campanile F, Sabia C, Santagati M, Gargiulo R, Stefani S. 2012. 1 83. 2 Polyclonal diffusion of beta-lactamase-producing Enterococcus faecium. J Clin Microbiol 50:169-172. 3 Rice LB, Carias LL, Rudin S, Lakticová V, Wood A, Hutton-Thomas R. 2005. 4 84. Enterococcus faecium low-affinity pbp5 is a transferable determinant. Antimicrob 5 Agents Chemother 49:5007-5012. 6 7 85. Novais C, Freitas AR, Silveira E, Antunes P, Silva R, Coque TM, Peixe L. 8 2013. Spread of multidrug-resistant Enterococcus to animals and humans: an underestimated role for the pig farm environment. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:2746-2754. 9 86. Novais C, Tedim AP, Lanza VF, Freitas AR, Silveira E, Escada R, Roberts 10 AP, Al-Haroni M, Baquero F, Peixe L, Coque TM. 2016. Co-diversification of En-11 terococcus faecium core genomes and PBP5: Evidences of pbp5 horizontal transfer. 12 Front Microbiol 7:1581. 13 87. Barlow RS, McMillan KE, Duffy LL, Fegan N, Jordan D, Mellor GE. 2017. 14 Antimicrobial resistance status of *Enterococcus* from Australian cattle populations at 15 slaughter. PLoS One 12:e0177728. 16 88. Poeta P, Costa D, Rodrigues J, Torres C. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance and 17 the mechanisms implicated in faecal enterococci from healthy humans, poultry and 18 pets in Portugal. Int J Antimicrob Agents 27:131-137. 19 89. Damborg P, Top J, Hendrickx AP, Dawson S, Willems RJ, Guardabassi L. 20 21 2009. Dogs are a reservoir of ampicillin-resistant Enterococcus faecium lineages associated with human infections. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:2360-2365. 22 23 90. Gonçalves A, Igrejas G, Radhouani H, Correia S, Pacheco R, Santos T, Monteiro R, Guerra A, Petrucci-Fonseca F, Brito F, Torres C, Poeta P. 2013b. Anti-24 25 microbial resistance in faecal enterococci and Escherichia coli isolates recovered from Iberian wolf. Lett Appl Microbiol 56:268-274. 26 91. 27 Barros J, Igrejas G, Andrade M, Radhouani H, López M, Torres C, Poeta P. 2011. Gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) carrying antibiotic resistant enterococci. A 28 potential bioindicator of marine contamination? Mar Pollut Bull 62:1245-1248. 29 92. Gonçalves A, Igrejas G, Radhouani H, Santos T, Monteiro R, Pacheco R, Al-30 caide E, Zorrilla I, Serra R, Torres C, Poeta P. 2013. Detection of antibiotic re-31 32 sistant enterococci and Escherichia coli in free range Iberian Lynx (Lynx pardinus). Sci Total EnviroN 456-457:115-119. 33

DANMAP. 2014. Use of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of antimicrobial
 resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in Denmark. ISSN 1600 2032.

- 4 94. Swedres-Svarm. 2015. Consumption of antibiotics and occurrence of antibiotic
  5 resistance in Sweden. Solna/Uppsala. ISSN 1650-6332.
- 6 95. NethMap-MARAN. 2015. Monitoring of Antimicrobial Resistance and Antibi7 otic Usage in Animals in the Netherlands in 2014. Nigmegen, SWAB.
- 8 96. Uttley AH, George RC, Naidoo J, Woodford N, Johnson AP, Collins CH,
   9 Morrison D, Gilfillan AJ, Fitch LE, Heptonstall J. 1989. High-level vancomycin 10 resistant enterococci causing hospital infections. *Epidemiol Infect* 103:173-181.
- 11 97. Leclercq R, Derlot E, Duval J, Courvalin P. 1988. Plasmid-mediated resistance
   12 to vancomycin and teicoplanin in *Enterococcus faecium*. N Engl J Med 319:157-161.
- 13 98. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Antimicrobial re 14 sistance surveillance in Europe 2016. Annual Report of the European Antimicrobial
   15 Resistance Surveillance Network (EARS-Net). Stockholm: ECDC; 2017.
- 16 99. Lebreton F, Depardieu F, Bourdon N, Fines-Guyon M, Berger P, Camiade S,
   17 Leclercq R, Courvalin P, Cattoir V. 2011. D-Ala-d-Ser VanN-type transferable van 18 comycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55: 4606 19 4612.
- Boyd DA, Du T, Hizon R, Kaplen B, Murphy T, Tyler S, Brown S, Jamieson
   F, Weiss K, Mulvey MR. 2006. VanG-type vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus fae- calis* strains isolated in Canada. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50:2217-2221.
- 101. Boyd DA, Willey BM, Fawcett D. Guillani N, Mulvey MR 2008. Molecular
   characterization of *Enterococcus faecalis* N06-0364 with low-level vancomycin re sistance harboring a novel D-Ala-Ser gene cluster, *vanL. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52: 2667-2672.
- Xu X, Lin D, Yan G, Ye X, Wu S, Guo Y, Zhu D, Hu F, Zhang Y, Wang F,
   Jacoby GA, Wang M. 2010. *VanM*, a new glycopeptide resistance gene cluster found
   in *Enterococcus faecium*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 54:4643-4647.
- 103. Depardieu F, Foucault ML, Bell J, Dubouix A, Guibert M, Lavigne JP,
   Levast M, Courvalin P. 2009. New combinations of mutations in VanD-type vanco mycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Enterococcus avium* strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53:1952-1963.

López M, Rezusta A, Seral C, Aspiroz C, Marne C, Aldea MJ, Ferrer I, Re-1 104. villo MJ, Castillo FJ, Torres C. 2012. Detection and characterization of a ST6 clone 2 of vanB2-Enterococcus faecalis from three different hospitals in Spain. Eur J Clin 3 Microbiol Infect Dis 31:257-260. 4 Patel R, Piper K, Cockerill FR 3rd, Steckelberg JM, Yousten AA. 2000. The 5 105. biopesticide Paenibacillus popilliae has a vancomycin resistance gene cluster homol-6 ogous to the enterococcal VanA vancomycin resistance gene cluster. Antimicrob 7 8 Agents Chemother 44:705-709. Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque TM, Werner G, Sundsfjord A. 2010. Mobile 9 106. genetic elements and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant En-10 terococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium. Clin Microbiol Infect 16:541-554. 11 107. Arthur M, Quintiliani R Jr. 2001. Regulation of VanA- and VanB-type glyco-12 peptide resistance in enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:375-381. 13 108. Arthur M, Courvalin P. 1993. Genetics and mechanisms of glycopeptide re-14 sistance in enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 37:1563-1571. 15 109. López M, Sáenz Y, Alvarez-Martínez MJ, Marco F, Robredo B, Rojo-Beza-16 res B, Ruiz-Larrea F, Zarazaga M, Torres C. 2010. Tn1546 structures and multi-17 locus sequence typing of vanA-containing enterococci of animal, human and food 18 origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 65:1570-1575. 19 110. Werner G, Strommenger B, Witte W. 2008. Acquired vancomycin resistance 20 21 in clinically relevant pathogens. Future Microbiol 3: 547-562. 111. Patel R. 2003. Clinical impact of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. J Antimicrob 22 23 Chemother 51:iii13-iii21. Bager F, Madsen M, Christensen J, Aarestrup FM. 1997. Avoparcin used as a 24 112. growth promoter is associated with the occurrence of vancomycin-resistant Entero-25 coccus faecium on Danish poultry and pig farms. Prev Vet Med 31: 95-112. 26 Aarestrup FM, Kruse H, Tast E, Jensen AM, Jensen LB. 2000. Association 27 113. 28 between the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion and the occurrence of resistance among Enterococcus faecium from broilers and pigs in Denmark, Finland, 29 and Norway. Microb Drug Resist 6:63-70. 30 114. Robredo B, Singh KV, Baquero F, Murray BE, Torres C. 1999. From vanA 31 32 Enterococcus hirae to vanA Enterococcus faecium: a study of feed supplementation with avoparcin and tylosin in young chickens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:1137-33 34 43. 53

Klare I, Badstübner D, Konstabel C, Böhme G, Claus H, Witte W. 1999. De-1 115. creased incidence of VanA-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated from poul-2 try meat and from fecal samples of humans in the community after discontinuation of 3 avoparcin usage in animal husbandry. *Microb Drug Resist* **5**:45-52. 4 Borgen K, Sørum M, Wasteson Y, Kruse H. 2001. VanA-type vancomycin-5 116. 6 resistant enterococci (VRE) remain prevalent in poultry carcasses 3 years after 7 avoparcin was banned. Int J Food Microbiol 64:89-94. Bortolaia V, Mander M, Jensen LB, Olsen JE, Guardabassi L. 2015. Persis-8 117. 9 tence of vancomycin resistance in multiple clones of Enterococcus faecium isolated from Danish broilers 15 years after the ban of avoparcin. Antimicrob Agents 10 Chemother 59:2926-2929. 11 118. Lauderdale TL, Shiau YR, Wang HY, Lai JF, Huang IW, Chen PC, Chen 12 13 HY, Lai SS, Liu YF, Ho M. 2007. Effect of banning vancomycin analogue avoparcin on vancomycin-resistant enterococci in chicken farms in Taiwan. Environ Microbiol 14 15 **9**:819-823. Van BA, van den Braak N, Thomassen R, Verbrugh H, Endtz H. 1996. Van-16 119. comycin-resistant enterococci in cats and dogs. Lancet 348:1038-1039. 17 López M, Tenorio C, Torres C. 2013. Study of vancomycin resistance in faecal 18 120. enterococci from healthy humans and dogs in Spain a decade after the avoparcin ban 19 in Europe. Zoonoses Public Health 60:160-167. 20 Donabedian SM, Perri MB, Abdujamilova N, Gordoncillo MJ, Naqvi A, 21 121. Reyes KC, Zervos MJ, Bartlett P. 2010. Characterization of vancomycin-resistant 22 23 Enterococcus faecium isolated from swine in three Michigan counties. J Clin Microbiol 48:4156-4160. 24 25 122. Gordoncillo MJ, Donabedian S, Bartlett PC, Perri M, Zervos M, Kirkwood R, Febvay C. 2013. Isolation and molecular characterization of vancomycin-resistant 26 Enterococcus faecium from swine in Michigan, USE. Zoonoses Public Health 60:319-27 326. 28 Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 1993. Nosocomial entero-29 123. coci resistant to vancomycin-United States, 1989-1993. MMWR Morbid Mortal Wkly 30 Rep 42:597-599. 31 32 124. Goossens H. 1998. Spread of vancomycin-resistant enterococi: differences be-

tween the United States and Europe. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* **19**: 546-551.

Johnsen PJ, Østerhus JI, Sletvold H, Sørum M, Kruse H, Nielsen K, Simon-1 125. sen GS, Sundsfjord A. 2005. Persistence of animal and human glycopeptide-resistant 2 enterococci on two Norwegian poultry farms formerly exposed to avoparcin is associ-3 ated with a widespread plasmid-mediated vanA element within a polyclonal Entero-4 coccus faecium population. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:159-168. 5 van den Bogaard AE, Willems R, London N, Top J, Stobberingh EE. 2002. 6 126. 7 Antibiotic resistance of faecal enterococci in poultry, poultry farmers and poultry 8 slaughterers. J Antimicrob Chemother 49:497-505. 9 127. Sørum M, Johnsen PJ, Aasnes B, Rosvoll T, Kruse H, Sundsfjord A, Simonsen GS. 2006. Prevalence, persistence, and molecular characterization of glycopep-10 tide-resistant enterococci in Norwegian poultry and poultry farmers 3 to 8 years after 11 the ban on avoparcin. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:516-521. 12 128. Robredo B, Singh KV, Baquero F, Murray BE, Torres C. 2000. Vancomycin-13 resistant enterococci isolated from animals and food. Int J Food Microbiol 54:197-14 15 204. 129. Nilsson O, Greko C, Top J, Franklin A, Bengtsson B. 2009. Spread without 16 known selective pressure of a vancomycin-resistant clone of Enterococcus faecium 17 among broilers. J Antimicrob Chermother 63:868-872. 18 130. Ghidán A, Kaszanyitzky EJ, Dobay O, Nagy K, Amyes SG, Rozgonyi F. 19 2008a. Distribution and genetic relatedness of vancomycin-resistant enterococci 20 21 (VRE) isolated from healthy slaughtered chickens in Hungary from 2001 to 2004. Acta 22 Vet Hung 56:13-25. 23 131. Manson JM, Smith JM, Cook GM. 2004. Persistence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in New Zealand broilers after discontinuation of avoparcin use. Appl En-24 25 viron Microbiol 70:5764-5768. Kolar M, Pantucek R, Bardon J, Cekanova L, Kesselova M, Sauer P, Vagne-26 132. 27 rova I, Koukalová D. 2005. Occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in hu-28 mans and animals in the Czech Republic between 2002 and 2004. J Med Microbiol **54**:965-967. 29 133. Jung WK, Lim JY, Kwon NH, Kim JM, Hong SK, Koo HC, Kim SH, Park 30 YH. 2007. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci from animal sources in Korea. Int J Food 31 32 Microbiol 113:102-107. Tremblay CL, Letellier A, Quessy S, Boulianne M, Daignault D, Archam-134. 33 34 bault M. 2011. Multiple-antibiotic resistance of Enterococcus faecalis and *Enterococcus faecium* from cecal contents in broiler chicken and turkey flocks slaugh tered in Canada and plasmid colocalization of *tetO* and *ermB* genes. *J Food Prot* 74:1639-1648.

4 135. Boulianne M, Arsenault J, Daignault D, Archambault M, Letellier A, Dutil
5 L. 2016. Drug use and antimicrobial resistance among *Escherichia coli* and *Entero-*6 *coccus* spp. isolates from chicken and turkey flocks slaughtered in Quebec, Canada.
7 *Can J Vet Res* 80:49-59.

- 8 136. Poeta P, Costa D, Rodrigues J, Torres C. 2005. Study of faecal colonization by
   9 *vanA*-containing *Enterococcus* strains in healthy humans, pets, poultry and wild ani 10 mals in Portugal. *J Antimicrob* Chemother 55:278-280.
- 137. Ghidán A, Dobay O, Kaszanyitzky EJ, Samu P, Amyes SG, Nagy K, Rozgo nyi F. 2008b. Vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE) still persist in slaughtered
   poultry in Hungary 8 years after the ban on avoparcin. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung* 55:409-417.
- 15 138. Tzavaras I, Siarkou VI, Zdragas A, Kotzamanidis C, Vafeas G, Bourtzi Hatzopoulou E, Pournaras S, Sofianou D. 2012. Diversity of *vanA*-type vancomy cin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from broilers, poultry slaughterers and
- 18 hospitalized humans in Greece. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **67**:1811-1818.
- 139. Sting R, Richter A, Popp C, Hafez HM. 2013. Occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in turkey flocks. *Poult Sci* 92:346-351.
- 140. Obeng AS, Rikard H, Ndi O, Sexton M, Barton M. 2013. Comparison of anti microbial resistance patterns in enterococci from intensive and free range chickens in
   Australia. *Avian Pathol* 42:45-54.
- 141. Maasjost J, Mühldorfer K, Cortez de Jäckel S, Hafez HM. 2015. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Enterococcus faecalis* an *Enterococcus faecium* isolated
  from poultry flocks in Germany. *Avian Dis* 59:143-148.
- Stępień-Pyśniak D, Marek A, Banach T, Adaszek Ł, Pyzik, E, Wilczyński J,
   Winiarczyk S. 2016. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Enterococcus* strains iso lated from poultry. *Acta Vet Hung* 64: 148-163.
- Bertelloni F, Salvadori C, Moni A, Cerri D, Mani P, Ebani VV. 2015. Antimi crobial resistance in *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from laying hens of backyard poultry
   flocks. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 22:665-669.

2 comycin resistant enterococci (VRE) in Swedish broiler production. Acta Vet Scand **51**:49. 3 145. Torres C, Tenorio C, Portillo A, García M, Martínez C, Del Campo R, Ruiz-4 Larrea F, Zarazaga M. 2003. Intestinal colonization by vanA- or vanB2-containing 5 6 enterococcal isolates of healthy animals in Spain. Microb Drug Resist 9:S47-S52. 7 Herrero IA, Teshager T, Garde J, Moreno MA, Domínguez L. 2000. Preva-146. 8 lence of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium (VREF) in pig faeces from 9 slaughterhouses in Spain. Prev Vet Med 47:255-262. 147. 10 Iweriebor BC, Obi LC, Okoh AI. 2015. Virulence and antimicrobial resistance factors of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from fecal samples from piggery farms in Eastern 11 Cape, South Africa. BMC Microbiol 15:136. 12 Fard RM, Heuzenroeder MW, Barton MD. 2011. Antimicrobial and heavy 13 148. metal resistance in commensal enterococci isolated from pigs. Vet Microbiol 148:276-14 15 282. 149. Bustamante W, Alpízar A, Hernández S, Pacheco A, Vargas N, Herrera ML, 16 Vargas A, Caballero M, García F. 2003. Predominance of vanA genotype among 17 vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus isolates from poultry and swine in Costa Rica. 18 Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 7414-7419. 19 150. Kühn I, Iversen A, Finn M, Greko C, Burman LG, Blanch AR, Vilanova X, 20 Manero A, Taylor H, Caplin, J, Domínguez L, Herrero IA, Moreno MA, Möllby 21 **R**. 2005. Occurrence and relatedness of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in animals, 22 23 humans, and the environment in different European regions. Appl Environ Microbiol 24 **71**:5383-5390. 25 151. García-Migura L, Pleydell E, Barnes S, Davies RH, Liebana E. 2005. Characterization of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates from broiler poultry 26 and pig farms in England and Wales. J Clin Microbiol 43:3283-3289. 27 152. 28 Kempf I, Hellard G, Perrin-Guyomard A, Gicquel-Bruneau M, Sanders P, Leclercq R. 2008. Prevalence of high-level vancomycin-resistant enterococci in 29 30 French broilers and pigs. Int J Antimicrob Agents 32:463-464. 153. Liu Y, Liu K, Lai J, Wu C, Shen J, Wang Y. 2013. Prevalence and antimicrobial 31 32 resistance of Enterococcus species of food animal origin from Beijing and Shandong Province, China. J Appl Microbiol 114:555-563. 33

Nilsson O, Greko C, Bengtsson B. 2009b. Environmental contamination by van-

1

144.

1	154. Ngbede EO, Raji MA, Kwanashie CN, Kwaga JK. 2017. Antimicrobial re-
2	sistance and virulence profile of enterococci isolated from poultry and cattle sources
3	in Nigeria. Trop Anim Health Prod 49:451-458.
4	155. Bekele B, Ashenafi M. 2010. Distribution of drug resistance among enterococci
5	and Salmonella from poultry and cattle in Ethiopia. Trop Anim Health Prod 42:857-
6	864.
7	156. Ho PL, Lai E, Chan PY, Lo WU, Chow KH. 2013. Rare occurrence of vanco-
8	mycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium among livestock animals in China. J Antimi-
9	crob Chemother <b>68</b> :2948-2949.
10	157. Eisner A, Feierl G, Gorkiewicz G, Dieber F, Kessler HH, Marth E, Köfer, J.
11	2005. High prevalence of VanA-type vancomycin-resistant Enterococci in Austrian
12	poultry. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:6407-6409.
13	158. Haenni M, Saras E, Châtre P, Meunier D, Martin S, Lepage G, Ménard MF,
14	Lebreton P, Rambaud T, Madec JY. 2009. vanA in Enterococcus faecium,
15	Enterococcus faecalis, and Enterococcus casseliflavus detected in French cattle.
16	Foodborne Pathog Dis 6:1107-1111.
17	159. de Niederhäusern S, Sabia C, Messi P, Guerrieri E, Manicardi G, Bondi M.
18	2007. VanA-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci in equine and swine rectal swabs
19	and in human clinical samples. Curr Microbiol 55:240-246.
20	160. Ramos S, Igrejas G, Rodrigues J, Capelo-Martínez JL, Poeta P. 2012. Genetic
21	characterisation of antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in vanA-containing en-
22	terococci from cattle, sheep, and pigs subsequent to the discontinuation of the use of
23	avoparcin. Vet J 193:301-303.
24	161. Hershberger E, Oprea SF, Donabedian SM, Perri M, Bozigar P, Bartlett P,
25	Zervos MJ. 2005. Epidemiology of antimicrobial resistance in enterococci of animal
26	origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 55:127-130.
27	162. Song JY, Hwang IS, Eom JS, Cheong HJ, Bae WK, Park YH, Kim WJ. 2005.
28	Prevalence and molecular epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE)
29	strains isolated from <b>animals</b> and humans in Korea. <i>Korean J Intern Med</i> <b>20</b> :55-62.
30	163. Lim SK, Kim TS, Lee HS, Nam HM, Joo YS, Koh HB. 2006. Persistence of
31	vanA-type Enterococcus faecium in Korean livestock after ban on avoparcin. Microb
32	Drug Resist <b>12</b> :136-139.
33	164. Gonçalves A, Poeta P, Silva N, Araújo C, López M, Ruiz E, Uliyakina I,
34	Direitinho J, Igrejas G, Torres, C. 2010. Characterization of vancomycin-resistant

enterococci isolated from fecal samples of ostriches by molecular methods. Foodborne
Pathog Dis 7:1133-1136.
165. Araújo C, Torres C, Gonçalves A, Carneiro C, López M, Radhouani H, Par-
dal M, Igrejas G, Poeta P. 2011. Genetic detection and MLST typing of vanA-con-
taining Enterococcus strains from mullets fish (Liza Ramada). Microb Drug Resist
<b>17</b> :357-361.
166. Yosimura H, Ishimaru M, Endoh YS, Suginaka M, Yamatani S. 1998. Isola-
tion of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci from chickens in Japan. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother <b>42:</b> 3333.
167. Butaye P, Van Damme K, Devriese LA, Van Damme L, Bael M, Lauwers S,
Haesebrouck F. 2000. In vitro susceptibility of Enterococcus faecium isolated from
food to growth-promoting and therapeutic antibiotics. Int J Food Microbiol 54:181-
187.
168. Harada T, Kawahara R, Kanki M, Taguchi M, Kumeda Y. 2012. Isolation and
characterization of vanA genotype vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus cecorum from
retail poultry in Japan. Int J Food Microbiol 153:372-377.
169. Donado-Godoy P, Byme BA, León M, Castellanos R, Vanegas C, Coral A,
Arevalo A, Clavijo V, Vargas M, Romero Zuñiga JJ, Tafur M, Pérez-Gutierrez
E, Smith WA. 2015. Prevalence, resistance patterns, and risk factors for antimicrobial
resistance in bacteria from retail chicken meat in Colombia. J Food Prot 78:751-759.
170. Kasimoglu-Dogru A, Gencay YE, Ayaz ND. 2010. Prevalence and antibiotic
resistance profiles of <i>Enterococcus</i> species in chicken at slaughter level; absence of
vanA and vanB genes in E. faecalis and E. faecium. Res Vet Sci 89:153-158.
171. Harwood VJ, Brownell M, Perusek W, Whitlock JE. 2001. Vancomycin-re-
sistant Enterococcus spp. isolated from wastewater and chicken feces in the United
States. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 4930-4933.
172. Wilson IG, McAfee GG. 2002. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci in shellfish,
unchlorinated waters, and chicken. Int J Food Microbiol 79:143-151.
173. Novais C, Coque TM, Costa MJ, Sousa JC, Baquero F, Peixe LV. 2005. High
occurrence and persistence of antibiotic-resistant enterococci in poultry food samples
in Portugal. J Antimicrob Chemother 56:1139-1143.
174. Lemcke R, Bülte M. 2000. Occurrence of the vancomycin-resistant genes vanA,
vanB, vanCl, vanC2 and vanC3 in Enterococcus strains isolated from poultry and pork.
Int J Food Microbiol 60:185-194.

Del Grosso M, Caprioli A, Chinzari P, Fontana MC, Pezzotti G, Manfrin A, 1 175. Giannatale ED, Goffredo E, Pantosti A. 2000. Detection and characterization of 2 vancomycin-resistant enterococci in farm animals and raw meat products in Italy. 3 Microb Drug Resist 6:313-318. 4 Gambarotto K, Ploy MC, Dupron F, Giangiobbe M, Denis F. 2001. Occur-5 176. rence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in pork and poultry products from a cattle-6 rearing area of France. J Clin Microbiol 39:2354-2355. 7 8 177. Nomura T, Tanimoto K, Shibayama K, Arakawa Y, Fujimoto S, Ike Y, Tomita H. 2012. Identification of VanN-type vancomycin resistance in an *Enterococcus* 9 faecium isolate from chicken meat in Japan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:6389-10 6392. 11 178. Peters J, Mac K, Wichmann-Schauer H, Klein G, Ellerbroek L. 2003. Species 12 distribution and antibiotic resistance patterns of enterococci isolated from food of an-13 imal origin in Germany. Int J Food Microbiol 88: 311-314. 14 15 179. Delpech G, Pourcel G, Schell C, De Luca M, Basualdo J, Bernstein J, Grenovero S, Sparo M. 2012. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Enterococcus faecalis 16 and Enterococcus faecium isolated from artisanal food of animal origin in Argentina. 17 Foodborne Pathog Dis 9:939-944. 18 Talebi M, Sadeghi J, Rahimi F, Pourshafie MR. 2015. Isolation and biochem-180. 19 ical fingerprinting of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium from meat, chicken 20 21 and cheese. Jundishapur J Microbiol 8:e15815. 181. Gousia P, Economou V, Bozidis P, Papadopoulou C. 2015. Vancomycin-re-22 23 sistance phenotypes, vancomycin-resistance genes, and resistance to antibiotics of enterococci isolated from food of animal origin. Foodborne Pathog Dis 12:214-220. 24 25 182. Pesavento G, Calonico C, Ducci B, Magnanini A, Lo Nostro A. 2014. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of Enterococcus spp. isolated from retail cheese, ready-26 27 to-eat salads, ham and raw meat. Food Microbiol 41:1-7. 28 183. Sánchez-Valenzuela A, Lavilla Lerma L, Benomar N, Gálvez A, Pérez Pulido R, Abriouel H. 2013. Phenotypic and molecular antibiotic resistance profile of Enter-29 ococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium isolated from different traditional fer-30 mented foods. Foodborne Pathog Dis 10:143-149. 31 32 184. Pantosti A, Del Grosso M, Tagliabue S, Macrì A, Caprioli A. 1999. Decrease of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in poultry meat after avoparcin ban. Lancet 33 34 354:741-742.

1	185.	Hayes JR, English LL, Carter PJ, Proescholdt T, Lee KY, Wagner DD, White
2	DG	. 2003. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Enterococcus species isolated
3	froi	m retail meats. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:7153-7160.
4	186.	Hiroi M, Kawamori F, Harada T, Sano Y, Miwa N, Sugiyama K, Hara-Kudo
5	<b>Y</b> , I	Masuda T. 2012. Antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens from retail raw meats
6	and	food-producing animals in Japan. J Food Prot 75: 1774-1782.
7	187.	Aslam M, Diarra MS, Checkley S, Bohaychuk V, Masson L. 2012. Character-
8	izat	ion of antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in Enterococcus spp. isolated
9	from	n retail meats in Alberta, Canada. Int J Food Microbiol 156: 222-330.
10	188.	López M, Sáenz Y, Rojo-Bezares B, Martínez S, del Campo R, Ruiz-Larrea
11	<b>F</b> , 2	Zarazaga M, Torres, C. 2009. Detection of vanA and vanB2-containing entero-
12	coc	ci from food samples in Spain, including Enterococcus faecium strains of CC17
13	and	the new singleton ST425. Int J Food Microbiol 133:172-178.
14	189.	Jahan M, Krause DO, Holley RA. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance of Enterococ-
15	cus	species from meat and fermented meat products isolated by a PCR-based rapid
16	scre	eening method. Int J Food Microbiol 163:89-95.
17	190.	Guerrero-Ramos E, Molina-González D, Blanco-Morán S, Igrejas G, Poeta
18	<b>P</b> , <i>A</i>	Alonso-Calleja C, Capita R. 2016a. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and gen-
19	oty	pic characterization of vancomycin-resistant Enterococci in meat preparations. J
20	Foo	od Prot <b>79</b> :748-756.
21	191.	Jamet E, Akary E, Poisson MA, Chamba JF, Bertrand X, Serror P. 2012.
22	Pre	valence and characterization of antibiotic resistant Enterococcus faecalis in French
23	che	eses. Food Microbiol <b>31</b> :191-198.
24	192.	de Garnica ML, Valdezate S, Gonzalo C, Saez-Nieto JA. 2013. Presence of
25	the	vanC1 gene in a vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis strain isolated from
26	ewe	e bulk tank milk. <i>J Med Microbiol</i> <b>62</b> :494-495.
27	193.	Osman KM, Ali MN, Radwan I, ElHofy F, Abed AH, Orabi A, Fawzy NM.
28	201	6. Dispersion of the vancomycin resistance genes <i>vanA</i> and <i>vanC</i> of <i>Enterococcus</i>
29	isol	ated from Nile Tilapia on retail sale: A public health hazard. Front Microbiol
30	<b>7</b> :1	354.
31	194.	Tansuphasiri U, Khaminthakul D, Pandii W. 2006. Antibiotic resistance of
32	ent	erococci isolated from frozen foods and environmental water. Southeast Asian J
33	Tro	p Med Public Health <b>37</b> : 162-170.

1	195.	Simjee S, White DG, McDermott PF, Wagner DD, Zervos MJ, Donabedian	
2	SM	I, English LL, Hayes JR, Walker RD. 2002. Characterization of Tn1546 in van-	
3	comycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolated from canine urinary tract infections:		
4	evidence of gene exchange between human and animal enterococci. J Clin Microbiol		
5	<b>40</b> :	4659-4665.	
6	196.	Herrero IA, Fernández-Garayzábal JF, Moreno MA, Domínguez L. 2004.	
7	Do	gs should be included in surveillance programs for vancomycin-resistant entero-	
8	coc	cci. J Clin Microbiol 42:1384-1385.	
9	197.	Ghosh A, Dowd SE, Zurek L. 2011. Dogs leaving the ICU carry a very large	
10	mu	lti-dug resistant enterococcal population with capacity for biofilm formation and	
11	hor	izontal gene transfer. PLoS One 6:e22451.	
12	198.	Kataoka Y, Umino Y, Ochi H, Harada K, Sawada T. 2014. Antimicrobial sus-	
13	cep	tibility of enterococcal species isolated from antibiotic-treated dogs and cats. J Vet	
14	Me	<i>d Sci</i> <b>76</b> :1299-1402.	
15	199.	Abdel-Moein KA, El-Hariri MD, Wasfy MO, Samir A. 2017. Occurrence of	
16	am	picillin-resistant Enterococcus faecium carrying esp gene in pet animals: An up-	
17	cor	ning threat for pet lovers. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 9:115-117.	
18	200.	Oliveira M, Tavares M, Gomes D, Touret T, São Braz B, Tavares L, Semedo-	
19	Le	msaddek T. 2016. Virulence traits and antibiotic resistance among enterococci iso-	
20	late	ed from dogs with periodontal disease. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 46:27-	
21	31.		
22	201.	Gulhan T, Boynukara B, Ciftci A, Sogut MU, Findik A. 2015. Characterization	
23	of	Enterococcus faecalis isolates originating from different sources for their virulence	
24	fac	tors and genes, antibiotic resistance patterns, genotypes and biofilm production.	
25	Ira	n J Vet Res <b>16</b> :261-266.	
26	202.	Moura I, Radhouani H, Torres C, Poeta P, Igrejas G. 2010. Detection and	
27	ger	netic characterisation of vanA-containing Enterococcus strains in healthy Lusitano	
28	hor	rses. Equine Vet J <b>42</b> :181-183.	
29	203.	Mallon DJ, Corkill JE, Hazel SM, Wilson JS, French NP, Bennett M, Hart	
30	CA	. 2002. Excretion of vancomycin-resistant enterococci by wild mammals. Emerg	
31	Inf	ect Dis <b>8</b> :636-638.	
32	204.	Lozano C, González-Barrio D, García JT, Ceballos S, Olea PP, Ruiz-Fons F,	
33	То	rres C. 2015. Detection of vanocmycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis ST6-vanB2	

1	and E. faecium ST915-vanA in faecal samples of wild Rattus rattus in Spain. Vet Mi-		
2	<i>crobiol</i> <b>177</b> :168-174.		
3	205. Nowakiewicz A, Ziółkowska G, Zięba P, Kostruba A. 2014. Undomesticated		
4	animals as a reservoir of multidrug-resistant Enterococcus in eastern Poland. J Wildl		
5	<i>Dis</i> <b>50</b> :645-650.		
6	206. Lozano C, González-Barrio D, Camacho MC, Lima-Barbero JF, de la Puente		
7	J, Höfle U, Torres C. 2016. Characterization of fecal vancomycin-resistant entero-		
8	cocci with acquired and intrinsic resistance mechanisms in wild animals, Spain. Mi-		
9	<i>crob Ecol</i> <b>72</b> :813-820.		
10	207. Silva N, Igrejas G, Rodrigues P, Rodrigues T, Gonçalves A, Felgar AC, Pa-		
11	checo R, Gonçalves D, Cunha R, Poeta P. 2011. Molecular characterization of van-		
12	comycin-resistant enterococci and extended-spectrum β-lactamase-containing Esche-		
13	richia coli isolates in wild birds from the Azores Archipelago. Avian Pathol 40:473-		
14	479.		
15	208. Silva N, Igrejas G, Felgar AC, Gonçalves A, Pacheco R, Poeta P. 2012. Mo-		
16	lecular characterization of vanA-containing Enterococcus from migratory birds: song		
17	thrush (Turdus philomelos). Braz J Microbiol 43:1026-1029.		
18	209. Santos T, Silva N, Igrejas G, Rodrigues P, Micael J, Rodrigues T, Resendes		
19	R, Gonçalves A, Marinho C, Gonçalves D, Cunha R, Poeta P. 2013. Dissemination		
20	of antibiotic resistant Enterococcus spp. and Escherichia coli from wild birds of		
21	Azores Archipelago. Anaerobe 24:25-31.		
22	210. Klibi N, Ben Amor I, Rahmouni M, Dziri R, Douja G, Ben Said L, Lozano C,		
23	Boudabous A, Ben Slama K, Mansouri R, Torres C. 2015. Diversity of species and		
24	antibiotic resistance among fecal enterococci from wild birds in Tunisia. Detection of		
25	vanA-containing Enterococcus faecium isolates. Eur J Wild Res 61:319-323.		
26	211. Oravcova V, Zurek L, Townsend A, Clark AB, Ellis JC, Cizek A, Literak I.		
27	2014a. American crows as carriers of vancomycin-resistant enterococci with vanA		
28	gene. Environ Microbiol 16:939-949.		
29	212. Roberts MC, No DB, Marzluff JM, Delap JH, Turner R. 2016. Vancomycin		
30	resistant Enterococcus spp. from crows and their environment in metropolitan		
31	Washington State, USA: Is there a correlation between VRE positive crows and the		
32	environment? Vet Microbiol 194:48-54.		

1	213. <b>O</b>	ravcova V, Janecko N, Ansorge A, Masarikova M, Literak I. 2014b. First	
2	record of vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in Canadian wildlife. Environ		
3	Microbiol <b>6</b> :210-211.		
4	214. <b>O</b>	ravcova C, Hadelova D, Literak I. 2016. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus	
5	faeciu	n with vanA gene isolated for the first time from wildlife in Slovakia. Vet Mi-	
6	crobio	<i>l</i> <b>194</b> :43-47.	
7	215. <b>P</b>	oeta P, Costa D, Igrejas G, Rojo-Bezares B, Sáenz Y, Zarazaga M, Ruiz-	
8	Larre	a F, Rodrigues J, Torres C. 2007a. Characterization of vanA-containing En-	
9	teroco	ccus faecium isolates carrying Tn5397-like and Tn916/Tn1545-like transposons	
10	in wild	boars (Sus Scrofa). Microb Drug Resist 13:151-156.	
11	216. <b>R</b>	adhouani H, Poeta P, Pinto L, Miranda J, Coelho C, Carvalho C, Rodrigues	
12	J, Lóp	ez M, Torres C, Vitorino R, Domingues P, Igrejas G. 2010. Proteomic char-	
13	acteriz	ation of vanA-containing Enterococcus recovered from Seagulls at the Ber-	
14	lengas	Natural Reserve, W Portugal. Proteome Sci 8:48.	
15	217. <b>B</b> a	arros J, Andrade M, Radhouani H, López M, Igrejas G, Poeta P, Torres C.	
16	2012.	Detection of vanA-containing Enterococcus species in faecal microbiota of gilt-	
17	head s	eabream (Sparus aurata). Microbes Environ 27:509-511.	
18	218. <b>F</b> i	gueiredo N, Radhouani H, Gonçalves A, Rodrigues J, Carvalho C, Igrejas	
19	G, Po	eta P. 2009. Genetic characterization of vancomycin-resistant enterococci iso-	
20	lates fr	rom wild rabbits. <i>J Basic Microbiol</i> <b>49</b> :491-494.	
21	219. <b>da</b>	a Silva VL, Caçador NC, da Silva CS, Fontes CO, Garcia GD, Nicoli JR,	
22	Diniz	CG. 2012. Occurrence of multidrug-resistant and toxic-metal tolerant entero-	
23	cocci i	n fresh feces from urban pigeons in Brazil. Microbes Environ 27:179-185.	
24	220. <b>R</b>	adhouani H, Pinto L, Coelho C, Sargo R, Araújo C, López M, Torres C,	
25	Igreja	s G, Poeta P. 2010b. MLST and a genetic study of antibiotic resistance and	
26	viruler	nce factors in vanA-containing Enterococcus from buzzards (Buteo buteo). Lett	
27	<i>Appl Microbiol</i> <b>50</b> :537-541.		
28	221. <b>Si</b>	lva V, Igrejas G, Carvalho I, Peixoto F, Cardoso L, Pereira JE, Del Campo	
29	R, Po	eta P. 2017. Genetic Characterization of vanA-Enterococcus faecium Isolates	
30	from V	Vild Red-Legged Partridges in Portugal. Microb Drug Resist 24:89-94.	
31	222. <b>R</b>	adhouani H, Igrejas G, Carvalho C, Pinto L, Gonçalves A, Lopez M, Sargo	
32	R, Ca	rdoso L, Martinho A, Rego V, Rodrigues R, Torres C, Poeta P. 2011. Clonal	
33	lineage	es, antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in vancomycin-resistant	

enterococci isolated from fecal samples of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). J Wildl Dis
 47:769-773.

3 223. Gonçalves A, Igrejas G, Radhouani H, López M, Guerra A, Petrucci-Fonseca
F, Alcaide E, Zorrilla I, Serra R, Torres C, Poeta P. 2011. Detection of vancomycin-resistant enterococci from faecal samples of Iberian wolf and Iberian lynx, including *Enterococcus faecium* strains of CC17 and the new singleton ST573. *Sci Total Environ* 410-411:266-268.

8 224. Katakweba AA, Møller KS, Muumba J, Muhairwa AP, Damborg P,
9 Rosenkrantz JT, Minga UM, Mtambo MM, Olsen JE. 2014. Antimicrobial re10 sistance in faecal samples from buffalo, wildebeest and zebra grazing together with
11 and without cattle in Tanzania. *J Appl Microbiol* 118: 966-975.

Tejedor Juanco MT, González-Martin M, Rodríguez González NF, Gutierrez
 C. 2015. Identification, antimicrobial susceptibility, and virulence factors of *Entero- coccus* spp. strains isolated from Camels in Canary Islands, Spain. Ver Ital 51:179 183.

Guerrero-Ramos E, Cordero J, Molina-González D, Poeta P, Igrejas G,
 Alonso-Calleja C, Capita R. 2016b. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in
 enterococci from wild game meat in Spain. *Food Microbiol* 53:156-164.

19 227. Klare I, Fleige C, Geringer U, Thürmer A, Bender J, Mutters NT, Mischnik

A, Werner G. 2015. Increased frequency of linezolid resistance among clinical *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from German hospital patients. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 3:128-133

23 228. Herrero IA, Issa NC, Patel R. 2002. Nosocomial spread of linezolid-resistant,

vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. N Engl J Med **346**: 867-869.

25 229. Si H, Zhang WJ, Chu S, Wang XM, Dai L, Hua X, Dong Z, Schwarz S, Liu

- S. 2015. Novel plasmid-borne multidrug resistance gene cluster including *lsa*(E) from
   a linezolid-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolate of swine origin. *Antimicrob Agents*
- 28 *Chemother* **59**:7113-7116.
- 230. Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Jones RN. 2014. Linezolid update: stable in vitro
  activity following more than a decade of clinical use and summary of associated resistance mechanisms. *Drug Resist Updat* 17:1-12.
- 32 231. Shen J, Wang Y, Schwarz S. 2013. Presence and dissemination of the multire 33 sistance gene *cfr* in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob* 34 *Chemother* 68:1697-1706.

1	232. Li	u Y, Wang Y, Wu C, Shen Z, Schwarz S, Du XD, Dai L, Zhang W, Zhang	
2	Q, Sh	en J. 2012. First report of the multidrug resistance gene cfr in Enterococcus	
3	faecalis of animal origin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:1650-1654.		
4	233. Li	u Y, Wang Y, Schwarz S, Wang S, Chen L, Wu C, Shen J. 2014. Investiga-	
5	tion of	a multiresistance gene cfr that fails to mediate resistance to phenicols and oxa-	
6	zolidir	nones in Enterococcus faecalis. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:892-898.	
7	234. <b>F</b> i	lsner PH, de Almeida LM, Moreno M, Moreno LZ, Matajira CE, Silva KC,	
8	Pires	C, Cerdeira LT, Sacramento AG, Mamizuka EM, Lincopan N, Moreno	
9	<b>AM</b> . 2	2017. Identification of the cfr methyltransferase gene in Enterococcus faecalis	
10	isolate	d from swine: First report in Brazil. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 8:192-193.	
11	235. Li	u Y, Wang Y, Dai L, Wu C, Shen J. 2014. First report of multiresistance gene	
12	<i>cfr</i> in <i>L</i>	Enterococcus species casseliflavus and gallinarum of swine origin. Vet Micro-	
13	biol <b>1</b> 7	<b>70</b> :352-357.	
14	236. W	ang Y, Lv Y, Cai J, Schwarz S, Cui L, Hu Z, Zhang R, Li J, Zhao Q, He T,	
15	Wang	D, Wang Z, Shen Y, Li Y, Feßler AT, Wu C, Yu H, Deng X, Xia X, Shen J.	
16	2015.	A novel gene, optrA that confers transferable resistance to oxazolidinones and	
17	phenic	ols and its presence in Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium of hu-	
18	man ai	nd animal origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:2182-2190.	
19	237. <b>H</b>	e T, Shen Y, Schwarz S, Cai J, Lv Y, Li J, Feßler AT, Zhang R, Wu C, Shen	
20	J, Wa	ng Y. 2016.Genetic environment of the transferable oxazolidinone/phenicol re-	
21	sistanc	e gene optrA in Enterococcus faecalis isolates of human and animal origin. J	
22	Antimi	crob Chemother <b>71</b> :1466-7143.	
23	238. <b>T</b> a	amang MD, Moon DC, Kim SR, Kang HY, Lee K, Nam HM, Jang GC, Lee	
24	HS, J	ung SC, Lim SK. 2017. Detection of novel oxazolidinone and phenicol re-	
25	sistance gene optrA in enterococcal isolates from food animals and animal carcasses.		
26	Vet Mi	<i>crobiol</i> <b>201</b> :252-256.	
27	239. C	avaco LM, Korsgaard H, Kaas RS, Seyfarth AM, Leekitcharoenphon P,	
28	Hendu	<b>iksen RS</b> . 2017. First detection of linezolid resistance due to the <i>optrA</i> gene in	
29	entero	cocci isolated from food products in Denmark. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 9:128-	
30	129.		
31	240. C	avaco LM, Bernal JF, Zankari E, Léon M, Hendriksen RS, Perez-Gutierrez	
32	E, Aa	restrup FM, Donado-Godoy P. 2017. Detection of linezolid resistance due to	
33	the opt	trA gene in Enterococcus faecalis from poultry meat from the American conti-	
34	nent (C	Colombia). J Antimicrob Chemother 72:678-683.	

Freitas AR, Elghaieb H, León-Sampedro R, Abbassi MS, Novais C, Coque 1 241. TM, Hassen A, Peixe L. 2017. Detection of *optrA* in the African continent (Tunisia) 2 within a mosaic Enterococcus faecalis plasmid from urban wastewaters. J Antimicrob 3 Chemother 72:3245-3251. 4 Diaz L, Kiratisin P, Mendes RE, Panesso D, Singh KV, Arias CA. 2012. Trans-5 242. ferable plasmid-mediated resistance to linezolid due to cfr in a human clinical isolate 6 7 of Enterococcus faecalis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56: 3917-3922. Deshpande LM, Ashcraft DS, Kahn HP, Pankey G, Jones RN, Farrell DJ, 8 243. **Mendes RE.** 2015. Detection of a new *cfr*-like gene, *cfr*(B), in *Enterococcus faecium* 9 recovered from human specimens in the United States: Report from The SENTRY 10 Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:6256-6261. 11 244. Bender JK, Fleige C, Klare I, Fiedler S, Mischnik A, Mutters NT, Dingle KE, 12 Werner G. 2016. Detection of a cfr(B) variant in German Enterococcus faecium clin-13 ical isolates and the impact on linezolid resistance in Enterococcus spp. PLoS One 14 **11**:e0167042. 15 Lazaris A, Coleman DC, Kearns AM, Pichon B, Kinnevey PM, Earls MR, 16 245. Boyle B, O'Connell B, Brennan GI, Shore AC. 2017. Novel multiresistance cfr plas-17 mids in linezolid-resistant methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis and van-18 comycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium (VRE) from a hospital outbreak: co-location 19 of cfr and optrA in VRE. J Antimicrob Chemother 72:3252-3257. 20 Morroni G, Brenciani A. Simoni S Vignaroli C, Mingoia M, Giovanetti E. 21 246. 2017. Nationwide surveillance of novel oxazolidinone resistance gene optrA in Enter-22 23 ococcus isolates in China from 20004 to 2014. Frontiers in Microbiol 8:1631. Cai J, Wang Y, Schwarz S, Lv H, Li Y, Liao K, Yu S, Zhao K, Gu D, Wang 24 247. **X**, Zhang **R**, Shen J. 2015. Enterococcal isolates carrying the novel oxazolidinone 25 resistance gene optrA from hospitals in Zhejiang, Guangdong, and Henan, China, 26 27 2010-2014. Clin Microbiol Infect 21: 1095. 28 248. Cui L, Wang Y, Lv Y, Wang S, Song Y, Li Y, Liu J, Xue F, Yang W, Zhang J. 2016. Nationwide Surveillance of novel oxazolidinone resistance gene optrA in En-29 terococcus isolates in China from 2004 to 2014. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60: 30 7490-7493. 31 249. Del Campo R, Galán JC, Tenorio C, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Zarazaga M, Torres 32 **C**, **Baquero** F. 2005. New *aac*(6')-I genes in *Enterococcus hirae* and *Enterococcus* 33

*durans*: effect on â-lactam/aminoglycoside synergy. J Antimicrob Chemother
 55:1053-1055.

3 250. Galimand M, Schmitt E, Panvert M, Desmolaize B, Douthwaite S, Mechulam
4 Y, Courvalin P. 2011. Intrinsic resistance to aminoglycosides in *Enterococcus fae-*5 *cium* is conferred by the 16S rRNA m5C1404-specific methyltransferase EfmM. *RNA*6 17:251-62.

- 7 251. DANMAP. 1997. Consumption of antimicrobial agents and occurrence of anti8 microbial resistance in bacteria from food animals, food and humans in Denmark. In:
  9 Bager F and Emborg HD (eds.). Søborg, Denmark: National Food Institute, Technical
  10 University of Denmark, 1998.
- Donabedian SM, Thal LA, Hershberger E, Perri MB, Chow JW, Bartlett P,
   Jones R, Joyce K, Rossiter S, Gay K, Johnson J, Mackinson C, Debess E, Madden
   J, Angulo F, Zervos MJ. 2003. Molecular characterization of gentamicin-resistant
   Enterococci in the United States: evidence of spread from animals to humans through
   food. J Clin Microbiol 41:1109-1113.
- Werner G, Coque TM, Franz CM, Grohmann E, Hegstad K, Jensen L, van
   Schaik W, Weaver K. 2013. Antibiotic resistant enterococci-tales of a drug resistance
   gene trafficker. *Int J Med Microbiol* 303:360-79.

19 254. Klibi N, Ben Lagha A, Ben Slama K, Boudabous A, Torres C. 2013. Faecal
20 enterococci from camels in Tunisia: species, antibiotic resistance and virulent genes.
21 *Vet Rec* 172:213.

- 22 255. Muñoz-Atienza E, Gómez-Sala B, Araújo C, Campanero C, del Campo R,
   23 Hernández PE, Herranz C, Cintas LM. 2013. Antimicrobial activity, antibiotic sus 24 ceptibility and virulence factors of Lactic Acid Bacteria of aquatic origin intended for
   25 use as probiotics in aquaculture. *BMC Microbiol* 13:15.
- 26 256. Araújo C, Muñoz-Atienza E, Hernández PE, Herranz C, Cintas LM, Igrejas
   27 G, Poeta P. 2015. Evaluation of *Enterococcus* spp. from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus* 28 *mykiss*, Walbaum), feed, and rearing environment against fish pathogens. *Foodborne* 29 *Pathog Dis* 12:311-322.
- 30 257. Di Cesare A, Pasquaroli S, Vignaroli C, Paroncini P, Luna GM, Manso E,
- Biavasco F. 2014. The marine environment as a reservoir of enterococci carrying resistance and virulence genes strongly associated with clinical strains. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 6:184-190.

258.	Li P, Wu D, Liu K, Suolang S, He T, Liu X, Wu C, Wang Y, Lin D. 2014.	
Investigation of antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli and enterococci isolated		
from Tibetan pigs. PLoS One 29:e95623.		
259.	Pantozzi FL, Ibar MP, Nievas VF, Vigo GB, Moredo FA, Giacoboni GI. 2014.	
Wi	ld-type minimal inhibitory concentration distributions in bacteria of animal origin	
in A	Argentina. Rev Argent Microbiol 46:34-40.	
260.	Usui M, Ozawa S, Onozato H, Kuge R, Obata Y, Uemae T, Ngoc PT, Heri-	
yar	nto A, Chalemchaikit T, Makita K, Muramatsu Y, Tamura Y. 2014. Antimicro-	
bia	l susceptibility of indicator bacteria isolated from chickens in Southeast Asian	
cou	intries (Vietnam, Indonesia and Thailand). J Vet Med Sci 76:685-692.	
261.	Klibi N, Aouini R, Borgo F, Ben Said L, Ferrario C, Dziri R, Boudabous A,	
To	rres C, Ben Slama K. 2015. Antibiotic resistance and virulence of faecal entero-	
coc	cci isolated from food-producing animals in Tunisia. Ann Microbiol 65: 695-702.	
262.	Ayeni FA, Odumosu BT, Oluseyi AE, Ruppitsch W. 2016. Identification and	
pre	valence of tetracycline resistance in enterococci isolated from poultry in Ilishan,	
Ogun State, Nigeria. J Pharm Bioallied Sci 8:69-73.		
263.	Boss R, Overesch G, Baumgartner A. 2016. Antimicrobial Resistance of Esch-	
erio	chia coli, Enterococci, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus from	
Ray	w Fish and Seafood Imported into Switzerland. J Food Prot 79:1240-1246.	
264.	Wu X, Hou S, Zhang Q, Ma Y, Zhang Y, Kan W, Zhao X. 2016. Prevalence	
of	virulence and resistance to antibiotics in pathogenic enterococci isolated from mas-	
titio	c cows. J Vet Med Sci 78:1663-1668.	
265.	Beshiru A, Igbinosa IH, Omeje FI, Ogofure AG, Eyong MM, Igbinosa EO.	
201	7. Multi-antibiotic resistant and putative virulence gene signatures in <i>Enterococcus</i>	
spe	cies isolated from pig farms environment. Microb Pathog 104:90-96.	
266.	Carvalho I, del Campo R, Sousa M, Silva N, Carrola J, Marinho C, Santos	
Τ,	Carvalho S, Nóvoa M, Quaresma M, Pereira JE, Cobo M, Igrejas G, Poeta P.	
201	7. Antimicrobial-resistant Escherichia coli and Enterococcus spp. isolated from	
Mi	randa donkey (Equus asinus): an old problem from a new source with a different	
app	proach. J Med Microbiol 66:191-202.	
267.	Kataoka Y, Ito C, Kawashima A, Ishii M, Yamashiro S, Harada K, Ochi H,	
Sav	wada T. 2013. Identification and antimicrobial susceptibility of enterococci isolated	
fro	m dogs and cats subjected to differing antibiotic pressures. J Vet Med Sci 75:749-	
	3.	
	Inv from 259. Wi in 2 260. yan bia cou 261. Tou cou 261. Tou cou 262. pre Og 263. <i>eria</i> 264. of v titia 265. 201 spe 266. T, v 201 Min app 267. Sav	

Chung YS, Kwon KH, Shin S, Kim JH, Park YH, Yoon JW. 2014. Character-1 268. ization of veterinary hospital-associated isolates of *Enterococcus* species in Korea. J 2 Microbiol Biotechnol 24:386-393. 3 Iseppi R, Messi P, Anacarso I, Bondi M, Sabia C, Condò C, de Niederhausern 4 269. 5 S. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence traits in Enterococcus strains isolated from dogs and cats. New Microbiol 38:369-378. 6 Radhouani H, Igrejas G, Gonçalves A, Pacheco R, Monteiro R, Sargo R, 7 270. Brito F, Torres C, Poeta P. 2013. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in 8 Escherichia coli and enterococci from red foxes (Vulpes vulpes). Anaerobe 23:82-86. 9 271. Semedo-Lemsaddek T, Nóbrega CS, Ribeiro T, Pedroso NM, Sales-Luís T, 10 Lemsaddek A, Tenreiro R, Tavares L, Vilela CL, Oliveira M. 2013. Virulence 11 traits and antibiotic resistance among enterococci isolated from Eurasian otter (Lutra 12 lutra). Vet Microbiol 163:378-382. 13 272. Doud CW, Scott HM, Zurek L. 2014. Role of house flies in the ecology of En-14 terococcus faecalis from wastewater treatment facilities. Microb Ecol 67:380-391. 15 Santestevan NA, de Angelis Zvoboda D, Prichula J, Pereira RI, Wachholz 16 273. GR, Cardoso LA, de Moura TM, Medeiros AW, de Amorin DB, Tavares M, 17 d'Azevedo PA, Franco AC, Frazzon J, Frazzon AP. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance 18 and virulence factor gene profiles of *Enterococcus* spp. isolates from wild *Arctoceph*-19 alus australis (South American fur seal) and Arctocephalus tropicalis (Subantarctic 20 21 fur seal). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 31:1935-1946. Prichula J, Pereira RI, Wachholz GR, Cardoso LA, Tolfo NC, Santestevan 22 274. 23 NA, Medeiros AW, Tavares M, Frazzon J, d'Azevedo PA, Frazzon AP. 2016. Resistance to antimicrobial agents among enterococci isolated from fecal samples of wild 24 marine species in the southern coast of Brazil. Mar Pollut Bull 105:51-57. 25 Ben Said L, Hamdaoui M, Klibi A, Ben Slama K, Torres C, Klibi N. 2017. 26 275. 27 Diversity of species and antibiotic resistance in enterococci isolated from seafood in 28 Tunisia. Annals of Microbiology 67:135-141 29 276. Chaiwong T, Srivoramas T, Panya M, Wanram S, Panomket P. 2014. Antibiotic resistance patterns of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated from *Musca domestica* and 30 Chrysomya megacephala in ubon Ratchathani. J Med Assoc Thai 97 Suppl 4:S1-6. 31 32 277. Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. Microbiol Mol Biol 33 34 Rev 65: 232-260.

1	278.	Roberts MC, Sutcliffe J, Courvalin P, Jensen LB, Rood J, Seppala H. 1999.
2	No	menclature for macrolide and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance
3	det	erminants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:2823-2830.
4	279.	Roberts MC. 2005. Update on acquired tetracycline resistance genes. FEMS Mi-
5	cro	<i>biol Lett</i> <b>245</b> :195-203.
6	280.	Franke AE, Clewell DB. 1980. Evidence for conjugal transfer of a Streptococcus
7	fae	calis tranposon (Tn916) from a chromosomal site in the absence of plasmid DNA.
8	Со	ld Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol <b>46</b> :7780.
9	281.	Aarestrup FM, Seyfarth AM, Emborg HD, Pedersen K, Hendriksen RS,
10	Ba	ger F. 2001. Effect of abolishment of the use of antimicrobial agents for growth
11	pro	motion on occurrence of antimicrobial resistance in fecal enterococci from food
12	ani	mals in Denmark. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45:2054-2059.
13	282.	Portillo A, Ruiz-Larrea F, Zarazaga M, Alonso A, Martinez JL, Torres C.
14	200	00. Macrolide resistance genes in Enterococcus spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
15	44:	967-971.
16	283.	Bozdogan B, Berrezouga L, Kuo MS, Yurek DA, Farley KA, Stockman BJ,
17	Le	clercq R. 1999. A new resistance gene, <i>linB</i> , conferring resistance to lincosamides
18	by	nucleotidylation in Enterococcus faecium HM1025. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
19	43:	925-929.
20	284.	Roberts MC. 1996. Tetracycline resistance determinants: mechanisms of action,
21	reg	ulation of expression, genetic mobility, and distribution. FEMS Microbiol Rev
22	19:	1-24.
23	285.	Hooper DC. 2002. Fluoroquinolone resistance among Gram-positive cocci. Lan-
24	cet	Infect Dis 2:530-538.
25	286.	López M, Tenorio C, Del Campo R, Zarazaga M, Torres C. 2011. Characteri-
26	zat	ion of the mechanisms of fluoroquinolone resistance in vancomycin-resistant enter-
27	000	occi of different origins. J Chemother 23:87-91.
28	287.	Arsène S, Leclercq R. 2007. Role of a <i>qnr</i> -like gene in the intrinsic resistance of
29	En	terococcus faecalis to fluoroquinolones. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51:3254-8.
30	288.	Jonas BM, Murray BE, Weinstock GM. 2001. Characterization of emeA, a norA
31	hoi	nolog and multidrug resistance efflux pump, in Enterococcus faecalis. Antimicrob
32	Ag	ents Chemother <b>45</b> :3574-3579.

Oyamada Y, Ito H, Fujimoto K, Asada R, Niga T, Okamoto R, Inoue M, Ya-1 289. magishi J. 2006. Combination of known and unknown mechanisms confers high-level 2 resistance to fluoroquinolones in Enterococcus faecium. J Med Microbiol. 55:729-36. 3 Werner G, Fleige C, Ewert B, Laverde-Gomez JA, Klare I, Witte W. 2010. 4 290. High-level ciprofloxacin resistance among hospital-adapted Enterococcus faecium 5 (CC17). Int J Antimicrob Agents 35:119-125. 6 7 291. Guzman-Prieto AM°, vanSchaik W, Rogers MR, Coque TM, Baquero F, Corander J, Willems RJ. 2016. Global emergence and dissemination of enterococci 8 as nosocomial pathogens: Attack of the clones? Front Microbiol 7:788. 9 292. van den Bogaard AE, Jensen LB, Stobberingh EE. 1997. Vancomycin-re-10 sistant enterococci in turkeys and farmers. N Engl J Med 337:1558-1559. 11 293. Klein G, Pack A, Reuter G. 1998. Antibiotic resistance patterns of enterococci 12 and occurrence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in raw minced beef and pork in 13 Germany. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:1825-1830. 14 15 294. Butaye P, Devriese LA, Goossens H, Ieven M, Haesebrouck F. 1999. Entero*cocci* with acquired vancomycin resistance in pigs and chickens of different age groups. 16 Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:365-366. 17 18 295. Klare I, Heier H, Claus H, Böhme G, Marin S, Seltmann G, Hakenbeck R, Antanassova V, Witte W. 1995. Enterococcus faecium strains with vanA-mediated 19 20 high-level glycopeptide resistance isolated from animal foodstuffs and fecal samples of humans in the community. *Microb Drug Resist* 1:265-272. 21 22 296. Wegener HC, Madsen M, Nielsen N, Aarestrup FM. 1997. Isolation of vancomycin resistant Enterococcus faecium from food. Int J Food Microbiol 35:57-66. 23 24 297. Balzereit-Scheuerlein F, Stephan R. 2001. Prevalence of colonisation and resistance patterns of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in healthy, non-hospitalised per-25 26 sons in Switzerland. Swiss Med Wkly 131:280-282. 298. Van der Auwera P, Pensart N, Korten V, Murray BE, Leclercq R.1996. In-27 fluence of oral glycopeptides on the fecal flora of human volunteers: selection of 28 highly glycopeptide-resistant enterococci. J Infect Dis 173:1129-1136. 29 del Campo R, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Sánchez-Moreno MP, Baquero F, Torres 30 299. C, Cantón R, Coque TM. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance in recent fecal enterococci 31 from healthy volunteers and food handlers in Spain: genes and phenotypes. Microb 32 Drug Resist 9:47-60. 33

1	300.	Bates J, Jordens Z, Selkon JB. 1993. Evidence for an animal origin of vanco-
2	my	cin-resistant enterococci. Lancet 342:490-491.
3	301.	Nannini E, Murray BE. 2006. Vancomycin resistant enterococci p 155-188. In
4	For	ng IW, Drlica K (ed) Reemergence of established pathogens in the 21st century.
5	Spi	ringer Science & Business Media.
6	302.	Freitas AR, Novais C, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Coque TM, Peixe L. 2009. Disper-
7	sio	n of multidrug-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates belonging to major clonal
8	cor	nplexes in different Portuguese settings. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:4904-4908.
9	303.	Freitas AR, Novais C, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Coque TM, Peixe L. 2009. Clonal
10	exp	pansion within clonal complex 2 and spread of vancomycin-resistant plasmids
11	am	ong different genetic lineages of Enterococcus faecalis from Portugal. J Antimicrob
12	Ch	emother <b>63</b> :1104-1111.
13	304.	Freitas AR, Coque TM, Novais C, Hammerum AM, Lester CH, Zervos MJ,
14	Do	nabedian S, Jensen LB, Francia MV, Baquero F, Peixe L. 2011. Human and
15	SW	ine hosts share vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium CC17 and CC5 and En-
16	ter	ococcus faecalis CC2 clonal clusters harboring Tn1546 on indistinguishable plas-
17	mie	ds. J Clin Microbiol 49:925-931.
18	305.	Robredo B, Singh KV, Torres C, Murray BE. 2000. Streptogramin resistance
19	and	d shared pulsed-field gel electrophoresis patterns in vanA-containing Enterococcus
20	fae	cium and Enterococcus hirae isolated from humans and animals in Spain. Microb
21	Dr	ug Resist <b>6</b> :305-311.
22	306.	Daniel DS, Lee SM, Dykes GA, Rahman S. 2015. Public Health Risks of Mul-
23	tip	le-Drug-Resistant Enterococcus spp. in Southeast Asia. Appl Environ Microbiol
24	81:	6090-6097.
25	307.	Seo KS, Lim JY, Yoo HS, Bae WK, Park YH. 2005. Comparison of vancomy-
26	cin	-resistant enterococci isolates from human, poultry and pigs in Korea. Vet Micro-
27	bio	d <b>106:</b> 225-33.
28	308.	Howden BP, Holt KE, Lam MM, Seemann T, Ballard S, Coombs GW, Tong
29	SY	, Grayson ML, Johnson PD, Stinear TP. 2013. Genomic insights to control the
30	em	ergence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. MBio 4: e00412-13.
31	309.	Hammerum AM, Lester CH, Neimann J, Porsbo LJ, Olsen KE, Jensen LB,
32	En	nborg HD, Wegener HC, Frimodt-Moller N. 2004. A vancomycin-resistant En-
33	ter	ococcus faecium isolate from a Danish healthy volunteer, detected 7 years after the

- ban of avoparcin, is possibly related to pig isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 53:547 554.
- 3 310. van den Bogaard AE, Stobberingh EE. 2000. Epidemiology of resistance to antibiotics. Links between animals and humans. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 14:327-335.
- 5 311. Willems RJ, Top J, van Den Braak N, van Belkum A, Endtz H, Mevius D,
  6 Stobberingh E, van Den Bogaard A, van Embden JD. 2000. Host specificity of
  7 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*. J Infect Dis 182:816-823.
- 8 312. Homan WL, Tribe D, Poznanski S, Li M, Hogg G, Spalburg E, Van Embden
- JD, Willems RJ. 2002. Multilocus sequence typing scheme for *Enterococcus faecium*.
   *J Clin Microbiol* 40:1963-1971.
- 11 313. Willems RJ, Top J, van Schaik W, Leavis H, Bonten M, Sirén J, Hanage WP,
- Corander J. 2012. Restricted gene flow among hospital subpopulations of *Enterococ- cus faecium. MBio* 3:e00151-12.
- 14 314. Tedim AP, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Corander J, Rodríguez CM, Cantón R, Wi-
- llems RJ, Baquero F, Coque TM. 2015. Population biology of intestinal *Enterococ- cus* isolates from hospitalized and nonhospitalized individuals in different age groups.
   *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81:1820-1831.
- Bruinsma N, Willems RJ, van den Bogaard AE, van Santen-Verheuvel M,
   London N, Driessen C, Stobberingh EE. 2002. Different levels of genetic homoge neity in vancomycin-resistant and -susceptible *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from dif ferent human and animal sources analyzed by amplified-fragment length polymor phism. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 46:2779-2783.
- 316. Willems RJ, Top J, van Santen M, Robinson DA, Coque TM, Baquero F,
   Grundmann H, Bonten MJ. 2005. Global spread of vancomycin-resistant *Entero- coccus faecium* from distinct nosocomial genetic complex. *Emerg Infect Dis* 11:821 828.
- 317. Hwang IY, Ku HO, Lim SK, Lee KJ, Park CK, Jung GS, Jung SC, Park YH,
   Nam HM. 2010. Distribution of streptogramin resistance genes and genetic related ness among quinupristin/dalfopristin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* recovered from
   pigs and chickens in Korea. *Res Vet Sci* 89:1-4.
- 31 318. Donabedian SM, Perri MB, Vager D, Hershberger E, Malani P, Simjee S,
   Chow J, Vergis EN, Muder RR, Gay K, Angulo FJ, Bartlett P, Zervos MJ. 2006.
   Quinupristin-dalfopristin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from humans,

farm animals, and grocery store meat in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol*. 44:33613365.

3

319. De Graef EM, Decostere A, De Leener E, Goossens H, Baele M, Haesebrouck

- F. 2007. Prevalence and mechanism of resistance against macrolides, lincosamides,
  and streptogramins among *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from food-producing animals and hospital patients in Belgium *Microb Drug Resist.* 13:135-141.
- 7 320. Cha JO, Jung YH, Lee HR, Yoo JI, Lee YS. 2012. Comparison of genetic epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from humans and
  poultry. *J Med Microbiol* 61:1121-1128.
- 321. Stobberingh E, van den Bogaard A, London N, Driessen C, Top J, Willems
   R. 1999. Enterococci with glycopeptide resistance in turkeys, turkey farmers, turkey
- slaughterers, and (sub) urban residents in the south of The Netherlands: evidence for
  transmission of vancomycin resistance from animals to humans? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 43:2215-2221.
- 322. Simonsen GS, Haaheim H, Dahl KH, Kruse H, Løvseth A, Olsvik O,
  Sundsfjord A. 1998. Transmission of *vanA*-type vancomycin-resistant enterococci
  and *vanA* resistance elements between chicken and humans at avoparcin-exposed
  farms. *Microb Drug Resist* 4:313-318.
- 323. De Leener E, Martel A, De Graef EM, Top J, Butaye P, Haesebrouck F, Wil lems R, Decostere A. 2005. Molecular analysis of human, porcine, and poultry *Enter- ococcus faecium* isolates and their *erm*(B) genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:2766 2770.
- 324. Aarestrup FM, Cartensen B. 1998. Effect of tylosin used as a growth promoter
   on the occurrence of macrolide resistant enterococci and staphylococci in pigs. *Mi crob. Drug Resist* 4:307-312.
- 325. Bager F, Madsen M, Christensen J, Aarestrup FM. 1997. Avoparcin used as a
   growth promoter is associated with the occurrence of vancomycin-resistant *Entero- coccus faecium* on Danish poultry and pig farms. *Prev Vet Med* 31: 95-112.
- 326. Boerlin P, Wissing A, Aarestrup FM, Frey J, Nicolet J. 2001. Antimicrobial
  growth promoter ban and resistance to macrolides and vancomycin in enterococci from
  pigs. *J Clin Microbiol* 39:4193-4195.
- 32 327. Novais C, Coque TM, Boerlin P, Herrero I, Moreno MA, Dominguez L, Peixe
- L. 2005. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* clone in swine, Europe. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 11:1985-1987.

Novais CA, Freitas R, Sousa JC, Baquero F, Coque TM, Peixe LV. 2008. Di-1 328. versity of Tn1546 and its role in the dissemination of vancomycin-resistant enterococci 2 in Portugal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:1001-1008. 3 Hasman H, Aarestrup FM. 2002. tcrB, a gene conferring transferable copper 4 329. 5 resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*: occurrence, transferability, and linkage to macrolide and glycopeptide resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:1410-1416. 6 Amachawadi RG, Shelton NW, Shi X, Vinasco J, Dritz SS, Tokach MD, 7 330. 8 Nelssen JL, Scott HM, Nagaraja TG. 2011. Selection of fecal enterococci exhibiting tcrB-mediated copper resistance in pigs fed diets supplemented with copper. Appl En-9 viron Microbiol 77:5597-5603. 10 331. Silveira E, Freitas AR, Antunes P, Barros M, Campos J, Coque TM, Peixe L, 11 Novais C. 2014. Co-transfer of resistance to high concentrations of copper and first-12 line antibiotics among Enterococcus from different origins (humans, animals, the en-13 vironment and foods) and clonal lineages. J Antimicrob Chemother 69:899-906. 14 15 332. Freitas AR, Tedim AP, Novais C, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Werner G, Laverde-Gomez JA, Cantón R, Peixe L, Baquero F, Coque TM. 2010. Global spread of the 16 hylEfm colonization-virulence gene in megaplasmids of the Enterococcus faecium 17 CC17 polyclonal subcluster. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:2660-2665. 18 333. Getachew Y, Hassan L, Zakaria Z, Abdul Aziz S. 2013. Genetic variability of 19 vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis isolates from 20 21 humans, chickens, and pigs in Malaysia. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:4528-4533. 334. Damborg P, Sørensen AH, Guardabassi L. 2008. Monitoring of antimicrobial 22 23 resistance in healthy dogs: first report of canine ampicillin-resistant Enterococcus faecium clonal complex 17. Vet Microbiol 132:190-196. 24 25 335. de Regt MJ, van Schaik W, van Luit-Asbroek M, Dekker HA, van Duijkeren E, Koning CJ, Bonten MJ, Willems RJ. 2012. Hospital and community ampicillin-26 27 resistant Enterococcus faecium are evolutionarily closely linked but have diversified 28 through niche adaptation. PLoS One 7:e30319. van den Bunt G, Top J, Hordijk J, de Greeff SC, Mughini-Gras L, Corander 29 336. J, van Pelt W, Bonten MJM, Fluit AC, Willems RJL. 2018. Intestinal carriage of 30 ampicillin- and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium in humans, dogs and cats 31 32 in the Netherlands. J Antimicrob Chemother, In press, doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx455. Marques C, Belas A, Franco A, Aboim C, Gama LT, Pomba C. 2017. Increase 33 337. 34 in antimicrobial resistance and emergence of major international high-risk clonal

lineages in dogs and cats with urinary tract infection: 16 year retrospective study. J 1 2 Antimicrob Chemother 73:377-384. 338. Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Bonten MJM, Robinson DA, Top J, Nallapareddy SR, 3 Torres C, Coque TM, Canton R, Baquero F, Murray BE, del Campo R, Willems 4 RJL. 2006. Multilocus sequence typing scheme for Enterococcus faecalis reveals 5 hospital-adapted genetic complexes in a background of high rates of recombination. J 6 7 Clin Microbiol 44:2220-2228. McBride SM, Fischetti VA, LeBlanc DJ, Moellering RC, Gilmore MS. 2007. 8 339. Genetic diversity among Enterococcus faecalis. PLoS One 2:e582. 9 340. Kuch A, Willems RJL, Werner G, Coque TM, Hammerum AM, Sundsfjord 10 A, Klare I, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Simonsen GS, van Luit-Asbroek M, Hryniewicz 11 W, Sadowy E. 2012. Insight into antimicrobial susceptibility and population structure 12 of contemporary human Enterococcus faecalis isolates from Europe. J Antimicrob 13 Chemother 67:551-558. 14 Chen MY, Lira F, Liang HQ, Wu RT, Duan JH, Liao XP, Martínez JL, Liu 15 341. YH, Sun J. 2016. Multilevel selection of bcrABDR-mediated bacitracin resistance in 16 Enterococcus faecalis from chicken farms. Sci Rep 6:34895. 17 Petersen A, Christensen H, Philipp H-C, Bisgaard M. 2009. Clonality of En-18 342. terococcus faecalis associated with amyloid arthropathy in chickens evaluated by mul-19 tilocus sequence typing (MLST). Vet Microbiol 134: 392-5. 20 Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Cantón R, Pintado V, Coque TM, Willems R, Baquero F, 21 343. del Campo R. 2006. Genetic and phenotypic differences among Enterococcus faecalis 22 clones from intestinal colonisation and invasive disease. Clin Microbiol Infect 23 **12**:1193-8. 24 25 344. Raven KE, Reuter S, Gouliouris T, Reynolds R, Russell JE, Brown NM, Török ME, Parkhill J, Peacock SJ. 2016. Genome-based characterization of hospi-26 27 tal-adapted Enterococcus faecalis lineages. Nat Microbiol 1:15033. 28 345. Palmer KL, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Kos VN, Zucker J, Desjardins C, Cerqueira G, Gevers D, Walker S, Wortman J, Feldgarden M, Haas B, Birren B, 29 30 Gilmore MS. 2012. Comparative genomics of Enterococci: variation in Enterococcus faecalis, clade structure in E. faecium, and defining characteristics of E. gallinarum 31 32 and E. casseliflavus. MBio 3:e00318-11-e00318-11. Clewell DB, Weaver KE, Dunny GM, Coque TM, Francia MV, Hayes F. 33 346. 34 2014. Extrachromosomal and mobile elements in Enterococci: transmission,

maintenance, and epidemiology, In Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed), 1 2 Enterococci: from commensals to leading causes of drug resistant infection. Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston: Massachusetts. 3 Jensen LB, Garcia-Migura L, Valenzuela AJS, Løhr M, Hasman H, Aares-4 347. trup FM. 2010. A classification system for plasmids from enterococci and other 5 6 Gram-positive bacteria. J Microbiol Methods 80:25-43. 7 Wardal E, Gawryszewska I, Hryniewicz W, Sadowy E. 2013. Abundance and 348. diversity of plasmid-associated genes among clinical isolates of Enterococcus faecalis. 8 9 Plasmid 70:329-342. 349. Wattam AR, Abraham D, Dalay O, Disz TL, Driscoll T, Gabbard JL, Gilles-10 pie JJ, Gough R, Hix D, Kenyon R, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olson R, 11 Overbeek R, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Schulman J, Stevens RL, Sullivan DE, 12 Vonstein V, Warren A, Will R, Wilson MJC, Yoo HS, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Sobral 13 BW. 2014. PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource. Nu-14 15 cleic Acids Res 42:D581-D591. 350. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Vi-16 lla L, Møller Aarestrup F, Hasman H. 2014. In silico detection and typing of plas-17 mids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents 18 Chemother 58:3895-5903. 19 351. Johnsen PJ, Townsend JP, Bøhn T, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A, Nielsen KM. 20 21 2009. Retrospective evidence for a biological cost of vancomycin resistance determinants in the absence of glycopeptide selective pressures. J Antimicrob Chemother 22 23 **66**:608-610. Freitas AR, Tedim AP, Francia MV, Jensen LB, Novais C, Peixe L, Sánchez-24 352. Valenzuela A, Sundsfjord A, Hegstad K, Werner G, Sadowy E, Hammerum AM, 25 Garcia-Migura L, Willems RJ, Baquero F, Coque TM. 2016. Multilevel population 26 genetic analysis of vanA and vanB Enterococcus faecium causing nosocomial out-27 28 breaks in 27 countries (1986-2012). J Antimicrob Chemother 71:3351-3366. Lanza VF, Tedim AP, Martínez JL, Baquero F, Coque TM. 2015. The Plas-29 353. midome of Firmicutes: Impact on the Emergence and the Spread of Resistance to An-30 timicrobials. Microbiol Spectr 3: PLAS-0039-2014. 31 32 354. Sletvold H, Johnsen PJ, Hamre I, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A, Nielsen KM. 2008. Complete sequence of *Enterococcus faecium* pVEF3 and the detection of an 33

omega-epsilon-zeta toxin-antitoxin module and an ABC transporter. Plasmid 60:75-1 85. 2 355. Sletvold H, Johnsen PJ, Wikmark OG, Simonsen GS, Sundsfjord A, Nielsen 3 KM. 2010. Tn1546 is part of a larger plasmid-encoded genetic unit horizontally dis-4 seminated among clonal Enterococcus faecium lineages. J Antimicrob Chemother 5 **6**5:1894-1906. 6 Garcia-Migura L, Hasman H, Svendsen C, Jensen LB. 2008. Relevance of hot 7 356. spots in the evolution and transmission of Tn1546 in glycopeptide-resistant Entero-8 coccus faecium (GREF) from broiler origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 62:681-687. 9 357. Moura TM, Cassenego AP, Campos FS, Ribeiro AM, Franco AC, d'Azevedo 10 PA, Frazzon J, Frazzon AP. 2013. Detection of vanCl gene transcription in vanco-11 mycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecalis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 108:453-456. 12 358. Schwaiger K, Bauer J, Hörmansdorfer S, Mölle G, Preikschat P, Kämpf P, 13 Bauer-Unkauf I, Bischoff M, Hölzel C. 2012. Presence of the resistance genes vanC1 14 and *pbp5* in phenotypically vancomycin and ampicillin susceptible *Enterococcus fae*-15 calis. Microb Drug Resist 18:434-439. 16 Batista Xavier D, Moreno Bernal FE, Titze-de-Almeida R. 2006. Absence of 17 359. VanA- and VanB-containing enterococci in poultry raised on nonintensive production 18 farms in Brazil. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:3072-3073. 19 360. Mammina C, Di Noto AM, Costa A, Nastasi A.2005. VanB VanC1 Enterococ-20 21 cus gallinarum, Italy. Emerg Infect Dis 11:1491-1492. 361. Mikalsen T, Pedersen T, Willems R, Coque TM, Werner G, Sadowy E, van 22 Schaik W, Jensen LB, Sundsfjord A, Hegstad K. 2015. Investigating the mobilome 23 in clinically important lineages of Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis. 24 25 BMC Genomics 16:282. Teuber M, Schwarz F, Perreten V. 2003. Molecular structure and evolution of 26 362. 27 the conjugative multiresistance plasmid pRE25 of Enterococcus faecalis isolated from 28 a raw-fermented sausage. Int J Food Microbiol 88:325-329. Schwarz FV, Perreten V, Teuber M. 2001. Sequence of the 50-kb conjugative 29 363. multiresistance plasmid pRE25 from Enterococcus faecalis RE25. Plasmid 46:170-30 187. 31 32 364. Werner G, Hildebrandt B, Witte W. 2003. Linkage of erm(B) and aadE-sat4aphA-3 in multiple-resistant Enterococcus faecium isolates of different ecological or-33 34 igins. Microb Drug Resist Suppl 1:S9-S16.

365. Khan A, Nawaz M, Khan S, Steele R. 2002. Detection and characterization of
 erythromycin-resistant methylase genes in Gram-positive bacteria isolated from poul try litter. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 59:377-381.

- 4 366. Thumu SC, Halami PM. 2014. Phenotypic expression, molecular characteriza5 tion and transferability of erythromycin resistance genes in *Enterococcus* spp. isolated
  6 from naturally fermented food. *J Appl Microbiol* 116:689-699.
- 367. Schwaiger K, Bauer J. 2008. Detection of the erythromycin rRNA methylase
  gene *erm*(A) in *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:2994-2995.

9 368. Werner G, Hildebrandt B, Klare I, Witte W. 2000a. Linkage of determinants

10 for streptogramin A, macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B, and chloramphenicol re-

sistance on a conjugative plasmid in *Enterococcus faecium* and dissemination of this

12 cluster among streptogramin-resistant enterococci. *Int J Med Microbiol* **290**:543-8.

- 369. Werner G, Klare I, Heier H, Hinz KH, Böhme G, Wendt M, Witte W. 2000b.
   Quinupristin/dalfopristin-resistant enterococci of the *satA* (*vatD*) and *satG* (*vatE*) gen otypes from different ecological origins in Germany. *Microb Drug Resist* 6:37-47.
- 16 370. Hammerum AM, Flannagan SE, Clewell DB, Jensen LB. 2001. Indication of
   17 transposition of a mobile DNA element containing the *vat*(D) and *erm*(B) genes in
   18 *Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:3223-3225.

19 371. Jackson CR, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Barrett JB, Hiott LM, Woodley TA. 2007.

Prevalence of streptogramin resistance in enterococci from animals: identification of
 *vatD* from animal sources in the USA. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **30**:60-66

- 372. Jensen LB, Hammerum AM, Aarestrup FM. 2000. Linkage of *vat*(E) and
   *erm*(B) in streptogamin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from Europe. *Antimi- crob Agents Chemother* 44:2231-2232.
- 373. Jensen LB, Hammerum AM, Bager F, Aarestrup FM. 2002. Streptogramin
   resistance among *Enterococcus faecium* isolated from production animals in Denmark
   in 1997. *Microb Drug Resist* 8:369-374.
- 374. Sørensen TL, Blom M, Monnet DL, Frimodt-Møller N, Poulsen RL, Espersen
  F. 2001. Transient intestinal carriage after ingestion of antibiotic-resistant *Enterococ- cus faecium* from chicken and pork. *N Engl J Med* 345:1161-1166.
- 375. Smith DL, Johnson JA, Harris AD, Furuno JP, Perencevich EN, Morris JG
   Jr. 2003 Assessing risks for a pre-emergent pathogen: virginiamycin use and the emer gence of streptogramin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium*. *Lancet Infect Dis* 3:241 249.

1	376. Li XS, Dong WC, Wang XM, Hu GZ, Wang YB, Cai BY, Wu CM, Wang Y,
2	Du XD. 2014. Presence and genetic environment of pleuromutilin-lincosamide-strep-
3	togramin A resistance gene lsa(E) in enterococci of human and swine origin. J Anti-
4	<i>microb Chemother</i> <b>69</b> :1424-1426.
5	377. Wang XM, Li XS, Wang YB, Wei FS, Zhang SM, Shang YH, Du XD. 2015.
6	Characterization of a multidrug resistance plasmid from Enterococcus faecium that
7	harbours a mobilized <i>bcr</i> ABDR locus. <i>J Antimicrob Chemother</i> <b>70</b> :609-611.
8	378. Zhu XQ, Wang XM, Li H, Shang YH, Pan YS, Wu CM, Wang Y, Du XD,
9	Shen JZ. 2017. Novel <i>lnu</i> (G) gene conferring resistance to lincomycin by nucleoti-
10	dylation, located on Tn6260 from Enterococcus faecalis E531. J Antimicrob
11	Chemother <b>72</b> :993-997.
12	379. Liu H, Wang Y, Wu C, Schwarz S, Shen Z, Jeon B, Ding S, Zhang Q, Shen J.
13	2012. A novel phenicol exporter gene, <i>fexB</i> , found in enterococci of animal origin. J
14	Antimicrob Chemother 67:322-325.
15	380. Novais C, Campos J, Freitas AR, Barros M, Silveira E, Coque TM, Antunes
16	P, Peixe L. 2018. Water supply and feed as sources of antimicrobial-resistant Entero-
17	coccus spp. in aquacultures of rainbow trout (Oncorhyncus mykiss), Portugal. Sci Total
18	Environ <b>625</b> : 1102-1112.
19	381. Aarestrup FM, Hasman H, Jensen LB, Moreno M, Herrero IA, Domínguez
20	L, Finn M, Franklin A. 2002. Antimicrobial resistance among enterococci from pigs
21	in three European countries. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:4127-4129.
22	382. Amachawadi RG, Scott HM, Alvarado CA, Mainini TR, Vinasco J, Drouil-
23	lard JS, Nagaraja TG. 2013. Occurrence of the transferable copper resistance gene
24	tcrb among fecal Enterococci of U.S. feedlot cattle fed copper-supplemented diets.
25	Appl Environ Microbiol <b>79</b> :4369-4375.
26	383. Kim J, Lee S, Choi S. 2012. Copper resistance and its relationship to erythromy-
27	cin resistance in Enterococcus isolates from bovine milk samples in Korea. J Micro-
28	<i>biol</i> <b>50</b> :540-543.
29	384. Hasman H, Kempf I, Chidaine B, Cariolet R, Ersbøll AK, Houe H, Bruun
30	Hansen HC, Aarestrup FM. 2006. Copper resistance in Enterococcus faecium, me-
31	diated by the <i>tcrB</i> gene, is selected by supplementation of pig feed with copper sulfate.
32	Appl Environ Microbiol <b>72</b> :5784-5789.
33	385. Amachawadi RG, Scott HM, Vinasco J, Tokach MD, Dritz SS, Nelssen JL,
34	Nagaraja TG. 2015. Effects of in-feed copper, chlortetracycline, and tylosin on the

1	prevalence of transferable copper resistance gene, tcrB, among fecal enterococci of
2	weaned piglets. Foodborne Pathog Dis 12:670-678.
3	386. Pasquaroli S, di Cesare A, Vignaroli C, Conti G, Citterio B, Biavasco F.
4	2014. Erythromycin- and copper-resistant Enterococcus hirae from marine sedi-
5	ment and co-transfer of erm(B) and tcrB to human Enterococcus faecalis. Diagn
6	Microbiol Infect Dis 80:26-28.
7	387. Zhang S, Wang D, Wang Y, Hasman H, Aarestrup FM, Alwathnani HA,
7 8	<ul><li>387. Zhang S, Wang D, Wang Y, Hasman H, Aarestrup FM, Alwathnani HA,</li><li>Zhu YG, Rensing C. 2015. Genome sequences of copper resistant and sensitive</li></ul>
-	
8	Zhu YG, Rensing C. 2015. Genome sequences of copper resistant and sensitive
8 9	Zhu YG, Rensing C. 2015. Genome sequences of copper resistant and sensitive <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> strains isolated from copper-fed pigs in Denmark. <i>Stand Ge</i> -

Figure 1. Plasmid gene content of 67 *E. faecium* and 47 *E. faecalis* genomes with animal origin from NCBI whole genome database. Plasmid data were obtained by PlasmidFinder bioinformatics tool. The genomes from database were classified by source extracting the isolate information from the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) database (344). Reps, replicases.